



Survey of trees at Bamford Mews, Bamford																								
Tree No.	Species	Ht (m)	Branch Spread (m)				Stem diameters (cm)										Height of crown clearance (m)	Height of crown (m)	Direction of crown (m)	Category grading	Estimated remaining contribution (yrs)	Preliminary management recommendations	Root protection radius (m)	Root protection area (sq m)
							2-5 stems					More than 5 stems	Height of crown	Height of crown	Direction of crown	Category grading								
			Single Stem	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	Stem 5	Mean dbh	No. stems														
N	E	S	W	Single Stem	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	Stem 5	Mean dbh	No. stems	Height of crown clearance (m)	Height of crown (m)	Direction of crown (m)	Category grading	Estimated remaining contribution (yrs)	Preliminary management recommendations	Root protection radius (m)	Root protection area (sq m)					
1	Mixed broadleaf tree belt	11av			5av				30av			0	-	S	B2	>20	Established belt of trees along eastern boundary of site. Predominantly field maple with occasional hawthorn.	3.60	41					
2	Group of crack willow	16av			5av				45av			3	3N	S	B2	>20	Taller components of tree belt.	5.40	92					
3	Silver birch	12	7	7	7	6			45			0	25	E	B2	>20	One of a line of trees along northern boundary of site.	5.40	92					
4	Rowan	9	2	2	2	1			16			2	25	S	C2	>10	Contributes to row of trees but lacking vitality.	1.92	12					
5	Horse chestnut	10	6	5	2	3			20e			2	2N	V	C2	>10	Contributes to tree belt but growing beyond site boundary. Development compromised by adjoining time.	2.40	18					
6	Hawthorn hedge	2av			1av				10av			0	-	Y	B2	>20	Established but sparse hedge along boundary of site.	1.20	5					
7	Line	15	6	7	7	5			59			0	25E	E	B2	>20	Larger tree growing along northern boundary.	7.08	157					
8	Silver birch	14	6	2	5	4	31		3	35W	S	C2	>10			Contributes to tree line but development compromised by adjoining time.	3.72	43						
9	Silver birch	15	7	8	9	5	57		2	2W	S	B2	>20			Larger tree along northern boundary of site.	6.84	147						
10	Silver birch	15	5	2	6	7	46		1	25	S	C2	>10			Contributes to row of trees along northern boundary. Black exudation at base indicative of honey fungus infection. Unsusulted to long term retention.	5.52	96						
11	Silver birch	14	6	7	7	6	43		2	25	S	B2	>20			Larger tree growing along northern boundary of site.	5.16	84						
12	Hawthorn	7	1	2	3	2	19		2	2E	S	U	<10			Virtually dead. Unsusulted to long term retention.	2.28	16						
13	Silver birch	14	8	7	7	2	35		1	25	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 3 similar size trees along the northern boundary.	4.20	55						
14	Silver birch	15	7	5	7	4	36		2	35W	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 3 similar size trees along the northern boundary.	4.32	59						
15	Silver birch	14	7	5	8	7	43		1	25	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 3 similar size trees along the northern boundary.	4.16	54						
16	Silver birch	14	5	3	4	2	21		2	25E	S	C2	>10			One of a group of 3 trees growing along the northern boundary.	2.52	20						
17	Silver birch	14	7	5	7	3	31		1	25	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 3 trees growing along the northern boundary.	3.72	43						
18	Silver birch	15	9	7	8	7	40		1	25	S	U	<10			Lacking vitality. Black exudation on lower stem indicates infection with honey fungus. Unsusulted to long term retention.	4.80	72						
19	Pair of hawthorn	7av			3av				20av			0	-	S	U	<10	Pair of virtually dead trees growing along northern boundary.	2.40	18					
20	Silver birch	13	7	5	6	4	35		2	3N	S	B2	>20			Contributes to row of trees along northern boundary.	4.20	55						
21	Silver birch	13	4	2	4	2	20e		2	25	S	C2	>10			Slender form growing between 2 larger trees.	2.40	18						
22	Silver birch	14	7	6	7	7	40e		2	25	S	B2	>20			Larger example on the northern boundary. Growing amidst hawthorn.	4.80	72						
23	Silver birch	14	8	6	5	6	47		0	25	S	B2	>20			Established tree growing in corner of site. One of a group of 4 trees.	5.64	100						
24	Silver birch	13	3	4	2	5	22		3	3E	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 4 trees in corner of site.	2.64	22						
25	Silver birch	14	4	6	3	3	22		2	2N	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 4 trees in corner of site.	2.64	22						
26	Silver birch	15	6	7	6	7	44		1	35	S	B2	>20			One of a group of 4 trees in corner of site.	5.28	88						
27	Silver birch	14	5	5	4	6	40		0	2E	S	B2	>20			One of a line of trees along the western boundary of the site.	4.80	72						
28	Silver birch	12	2	4	3	3	22		3	3W	S	C2	>10			Contributes to row of trees but seems to be lacking vitality.	2.64	22						
29	Line	12	4	4	3	5	45		0	25E	S	B2	>20			Stands along western boundary. Becoming expressed by adjoining trees.	5.40	92						
30	Norway maple	16	8	8	6	7	58		1	2E	S	B2	>20			Forming part of a row of trees along western boundary. Development compromised by adjoining willow.	6.96	152						
31	Crack willow	19	6	7	11	10	113		2	2E	E	U	<10			Large example growing on western boundary. Primary failure of main union at circa 3-4m above ground level rendering subsidiary stem highly susceptible to collapse. Unsusulted to long term retention in its current form. Pollarding would enable retention and regrowth whilst overcoming propensity to failure.	13.56	576						
32	Group of crack willow	17av			7av				35av			0	-	S	B2	>20	Cluster of stems growing along western boundary. All attaining at size where stem failure is likely. Pollarding would enable their long term retention.	4.20	55					
33	Norway maple	16	6	7	7	7	53		2	25	S	C2	>10			Contributes to tree group but consists of multiple stems with narrow unions between them. These unions, on these species, are liable to failure as the tree matures.	6.36	127						
34	Weeping willow	10	6	7	7	6	37		0	2E	S	C2	>10			Contributes to tree line but lacking vitality.	4.44	62						
35	Group of goat willow	14av			8av				50av			0	-	E	C2	>10	Group of multi stem trees growing close to western boundary. Interspersed with occasional hawthorn sapling. All attaining a size where stem failure is beginning to occur. Coppicing enable regeneration.	6.00	113					
36	Norway maple	16	8	7	6	7	48		2	25	E	B2	>20			Contributes to group of trees in corner of site.	5.76	104						
37	Goat willow	16	10	9	2	6		15	41	36	47	52	0	-	E	U	<10	Stem failure has occurred and decay now present in lower stem. Coppicing would promote regeneration.	9.63	291				
38	Norway maple	15	2	5	9	6	43		2	2E	E	B2	>20			Forming part of a group of trees in corner of site. Lacking vitality.	5.16	84						
39	Row of line	16av			7av				40av			0	-	S	B2	>20	Contributes towards group of trees in corner of site. Closely spaced.	4.80	72					
40	Weeping willow	10	4	2	3	5	36		3	3W	S	C2	>10			Contributes to group of trees but suppressed by the more dominant examples.	4.32	59						
41	Hawthorn hedge	1.8av			1av				10av			0	-	S	B2	>20	Established hedgerow along southern boundary of site.	1.20	5					
42	Silver birch	13	6	6	6	6	42	35		1.8	1.6W	S	B2	>20		Established tree growing on southern boundary of site.	6.56	135						
43	Norway maple	16	8	7	6	6	44		1	25	S	B2	>20			Established tree growing towards southern boundary of site.	5.28	88						
44	Group of ash	15av			6av				3	3N	S	U	<10			Group of ash trees on southern boundary. All showing signs of ash die back. Group includes occasional sycamore.	5.40	92						
45	Norway spruce	14	3	3	3	2	25e		3	3N	S	C1	>10			Established tree growing within hedgerow. Lower stem smothered in ivy.	3.00	28						
46	Group of mixed broadleaves	15av			7av				45av			3	3N	S	B2	>20	Collection of trees growing at corner of site. Mixed species including field maple, beech and sycamore.	5.40	92					
47	Mixed tree group	11av			4av				20av			0	-	S	B2	>20	Collection of small trees growing within adjoining garden. Species include silver birch, leyland cypress and goat willow.	2.40	18					
48	Silver birch	13	6	6	8	8		27	37	43		0	-	S	B2	>20	Established broad spreading tree growing amidst dense vegetation.	7.54	179					
49	Alder	12	2	5	5	3	24		1	15	S	C2	>10			One of a pair of trees growing amidst rough vegetation.	2.88	26						
50	Alder	16	6	7	5	7		27	47			0	-	S	C2	>10	Established tree growing amidst dense vegetation. Some crown dieback.	6.50	133					
51	Group of sycamore	10av			4av				12av			0	-	Y	C2	>10	Group of self-set stems growing amidst alders.	1.44	7					
52	Alder	7	3	3	0	1	29		1	1E	S	U	<10			Extensive death of bark on what is left of the main stem.	3.48	38						
53	Norway maple	7	2	2	3	2	21		1.5	1.5N	V	C1	>10			Small tree adjoining car park.	2.52	20						
54	Cherry	5	3	2	2	2	20		1.5	1.5E	V	C1	>10			Lacking vitality. Black exudation on stem indicative of bacterial canker.	2.40	18						
55	Cherry	6	3	3	3	3	28		1.5	1.55	V	C1	>10			Lacking vitality.	3.36	35						
56	Rowan	3	2	1	2	2	11		1.8	1.8N	Y	C1	>10			Small tree lacking vitality.	1.92	5						
57	Silver birch	14	5	4	4	4	27		2	2N	S	B1	>20			Reasonably well formed tree adjoining car park.	5.24	53						

McCarthy Stone

Life, well lived

0 5m 10m 25m 50m

NOTES

- This tree survey information has been prepared for planning purposes only. Additional detail will be needed for foundation design.
- The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.
- Scale for planning purposes only.
- All dimensions to be checked on site.
- The copyright of this document resides with Keen Consultants unless assigned in writing by the company.
- Details shown on this drawing are devised with reference to BS5837:2012: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations.
- Check if Tree Preservation Order or Conservation Area protection applies to trees before undertaking tree works.
- Topographical survey based on JLP Surveying drawing number S24-0677.
- Where trees were not identified on the topographical survey they have been plotted by eye.

KEY

- Trees to be considered for retention: (Coloured line indicates extent of canopy)
 - Tree Category A 1.2 or 3 - Trees of high quality
 - Tree Category B 1.2 or 3 - Trees of moderate quality
 - Tree Category C 1.2 or 3 - Trees of low quality
- Trees unsuitable for retention: (Coloured line indicates extent of canopy)
 - Tree Category U - Trees that cannot realistically be retained due to their condition
- Protection Areas:
 - Root Protection Area (RPA) (where RPAs overlap the shading will appear darker)
- Tree/Hedge numbering:
 - Tree number - refer to schedule for information

KEY TO TREE SCHEDULE

Column Heading	Explanation
Tree No.	Unique number corresponding with number on plan
Species	English names
Ht (m)	Height in metres
Branch Spread	Crown radius in metres to cardinal points of the compass
Stem Dia	All measurements conform to Annex C of BS 5837:2012
	Single stem - Stem diameter in centimetres measured at 1.5m above ground level
	Multi-stemmed tree with 2 to 5 stems - Diameter of each stem
	Multi-stemmed tree with more than 5 stems - Average stem diameter and number of stems
Height of crown clearance	Height in metres between the ground and underside of canopy
Height of first major branch and direction of growth	Height from ground level to base of first major branch and the approximate direction of growth
Abbreviations as suffix to a dimension	Suffix 'e' denotes an estimated dimension
Age Class	Suffix 'av' denotes an average dimension
	Age Class definitions: Y = Young S = Semi-mature E = Early mature M = Mature O = Over mature
Category grading and Estimated remaining Contribution (yrs)	Summary of BS 5837: 2012 categorisation: 1. Trees unsuitable for retention: U = those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years 2. Trees to be considered for retention: A1, 2 or 3 = trees of high quality (substantial contribution >40 yrs) B1, 2 or 3 = trees of moderate quality (significant contribution >20 yrs) C1, 2 or 3 = trees of low quality (but adequate, ie >10 yrs or young trees - until new planting can be established) Note: Useful estimated remaining contribution of the tree or tree group based on figures stated in BS5837:2012
Condition	Brief description including physiological and structural defects
Preliminary management recommendations	Describes current arboricultural requirement for the tree in its current context
Root Protection Radius	Radius of minimum root protection area in metres calculated from section 4.6 and Annex D of BS5837:2012
Root Protection Area	Total area of minimum root protection area extrapolated from root protection radius

0 Preliminary issue 05.09.24

Rev Description Date

KEEN CONSULTANTS
The Studio, Timbers,
Gables Road, Church Crookham
Fleet, Hampshire
GU52 6QY
T 01252 850096
mail@keenconsultants.co.uk
keenconsultants.co.uk

Client

McCarthy Stone

Project
BAMFORD MEWS,
ROCHDALE

Title
TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN

Date
SEPT 2024

Scale
1:500@A1

Drawn by
ML

Checked by
JTK

Drawing Number

2345-KC-XX-YTREE-TCP01Rev0