ARBORICULTURAL REPORT
to BS 5837:2012
at
Hollingworth Lake Visitor Centre
Littleborough
Lancashire
OL15 0AQ

Client:
AJ Cocker
Associates

Client Address:
176 Drake Street
Rochdale
Lancashire
OL16 1UP

Client Telephone:
01706 764880

JCA Ref:
16522/AJB



JCA Limited
Arboricultural & Ecological Consultants

Contents

1. Introduction 3
1.1 Purpose of the Report 3
1.2 Terms of Reference 3
1.3 Scope of the Report 3
1.4 Survey Details 4
2. Site Description 5
2.1 Land Use 5
2.2 Topography 5
2.3 Treescape & Visual Amenity Value 5
2.4 Age Class Mix 5
2.5 Species Diversity 5
3. Status of the Trees 6
4. Tree Descriptions and Recommendations 6
5. Discussion Relating to the Existing Treescape 7
5.1 Tree Condition & Recommended Works 7
5.2 Tree Removals for Arboricultural Purposes 7
5.3 Remedial Tree Works 7
5.4 Monitoring 7
5.5 General Design Advice 8
6. Conclusions 10
Appendix 1: Tree Descriptions and Recommendations 12
Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Descriptions 13
Appendix 3: General Guidelines 16
Appendix 4: Glossary of Terms & Abbreviations 17
Appendix 5: Author Qualifications 18
Appendix 6: Tree Constraints Plan 20

© JCA Limited 2020

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.1.1 This report is required at **Hollingworth Lake Visitor Centre**, **Littleborough**, to provide detailed, independent, arboricultural advice on the trees present, in the context of potential development.

1.1.2 The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings of an arboricultural assessment of the existing vegetation at the above site; conducted in accordance with the guidelines contained within BS5837: 2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.

1.1.3 Where necessary, this report will outline any tree works which are required within the current context of the site. It will also grade the trees in accordance with the British Standard; which will guide the design in terms of which trees should be retained and which trees could be removed.

1.2 Terms of Reference

1.2.1 JCA Ltd has been instructed by **AJ Cocker Associates** to survey the site and prepare the findings in a report.

1.2.2 For this purpose a topographical survey has been supplied (**Drawing Ref. Hollingworth Visitors Centre**), which forms the basis for the Tree Constraints Plan at **Appendix 6**. The topographical survey, along with all other documents supplied to JCA, is assumed to be correct. No checking of such documents will be undertaken and JCA cannot be held responsible for incorrect data supplied by other parties.

1.3 Scope of the Report

1.3.1 This report is compiled in accordance with *BS 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'* and is based on an independent and objective assessment of the existing vegetation.

1.3.2 Preliminary recommendations are given with a view to the long-term management of sustainable tree cover and to uphold the interests of health and safety.

1.3.3 Only those trees which were identified on the topographical plan provided have been included within the remit of this report.

1.3.4 The specific designs of the proposed development are not generally taken into account at this stage or detailed within this report. This is to be detailed in an Arboricultural Impact Assessment.

© JCA Limited 2020

1.4 Survey Details

1.4.1 The survey took place during the month of November 2020 and was conducted by Andrew Bussey *LANTRA Accredited PTI*.

1.4.2 During this survey, all trees were inspected from ground level. Further investigations, such as a climbed inspection or a decay detection survey, have not been undertaken.

1.4.3 Measurements were obtained using clinometers, specialist tapes or electronic distometers. Where this was not possible, measurements were estimated to the best ability of the surveyor. JCA endeavour to provide accurate information and will always take measurements unless inhibited by restricted access or other mitigating circumstances. Where measurements have been estimated, they are clearly highlighted at **Appendix 1**.

© JCA Limited 2020

2. Site Description

2.1 Land Use

2.1.1 The site is occupied by Hollingworth Lake Visitors Centre and its associated grounds.

2.2 Topography

2.2.1 The site is relatively level with minor undulations throughout.

2.3 Treescape & Visual Amenity Value

2.3.1 Collectively, the surveyed trees provide a good addition to the local treescape and visual amenity value of the area.

2.4 Age Class Mix

2.4.1 The trees surveyed ranged in age from young to early-mature.

2.5 Species Diversity

2.5.1 Species surveyed include Crab Apple, Himalayan Birch, Holm Oak, Hazel, Holly, Beech, Downy Birch, Sycamore, Norway Maple, English Oak, Common Alder, Japanese Maple and Field Maple.

© JCA Limited 2020

3. Status of the Trees

3.1 A check was made on 10th November 2020 with **Rochdale Borough Council**.

3.2 We are informed that there is no Tree Preservation Order (TPO) in force and that the site is not within a Conservation Area.

3.3 Due to the large potential penalties for illegally carrying out work to protected trees, JCA recommend that a further check is carried out prior to any works being undertaken. This is especially relevant as the Local Authority is able to serve a TPO at any time.

4. Tree Descriptions and Recommendations

4.1 Full details of all individual trees surveyed are recorded in the tables at **Appendix 1**. A full explanation of the tables can be found at **Appendix 2**. Please refer also to the Tree Constraints Plan at **Appendix 6** for tree locations.

© JCA Limited 2020

5. Discussion Relating to the Existing Treescape

5.1 Tree Condition & Recommended Works

5.1.1 The tree survey revealed a total of **25** items of vegetation (**20** individual trees and **5** groups of trees). Of these, **11** trees and **4** groups were identified as retention category 'B', **7** trees and **1** group were identified as retention category 'C' and **2** trees were identified as retention category 'U'. Please refer to **Appendix 2** for retention category and definition criteria.

5.1.2 Within the survey, tree works have been identified for reasons of public safety, to ensure the long-term health of the trees or for general maintenance purposes. Such recommendations have been made without regard to any projected layout and should be undertaken irrespective of development. These are summarised in the following sections. For full details on all recommendations, please refer to **Appendix 1**. For an explanation of the priority ratings, see **Appendix 2 (A2.2.5)**.

5.2 Tree Removals for Arboricultural Purposes

5.2.1 **T19** and **T21** were identified as retention category 'U'. These trees require removal as a matter of **high priority** because they pose an imminent health and safety risk due to the defects detailed at **Appendix 1**.

5.3 Remedial Tree Works

5.3.1 No remedial tree pruning works are required under the current context of the site.

5.4 Monitoring

5.4.1 **T22** was noted to have a structural defect, as detailed at **Appendix 1**. Although this tree was considered to be in an acceptable condition at the time of the inspection, the defects observed may lead to its early demise or render it unsafe in the future. As such, it is recommended that this tree be monitored (re-inspected and assessed) on an annual basis in order to assess if its condition is still acceptable.

5.4.2 In addition, to the above, all trees which are to be retained within the proposed development should be inspected on a regular basis in the interests of risk management.

© JCA Limited 2020

5.5 General Design Advice

5.5.1 The following is an overview of general design considerations relating to tree cover.

5.5.2 The precise details of a proposed development are not known at present. The specific implications of a proposed design should be assessed within an Arboricultural Implications Assessment (AIA).

5.5.3 The retention categories of the trees surveyed are an indication of their overall values. The category of each item is listed at **Appendix 1** and an explanation of the retention categories is included at **Appendix 2**. As a general rule, those trees listed as retention category 'A' or 'B' are the most valuable items and as such the removal of these is likely to be met with resistance by the Local Planning Authority (LPA). Those items listed as retention category 'C' are of lesser value and the removal of these is less likely to be met with resistance by the LPA. Items listed as retention category 'U' are recommended for removal regardless of any proposals and should not present a constraint to construction. The above information should guide the design in terms of which trees are to be removed and which are to be retained. However, it should be noted that the retention of trees is just one consideration in the design process and each development will be taken for its merits.

5.5.4 The location of each tree is plotted on the associated Tree Constraints Plan at **Appendix 6**. This plan identifies the retention category of each tree (Retention A: green canopy, Retention B: blue canopy, Retention C: grey canopy, Retention U: red canopy), the crown spread, and also the associated rooting zone (Root Protection Area or RPA shown in gold). In order to enable the survival of trees shown to be retained within any proposals, both the canopy of the tree and its RPA must be completely avoided wherever possible. This relates to not just the location of new buildings, but also to the location of new areas of hard standing, proposed utility routes and any ground level changes (both excavations and soil piling). Where this is not possible, specialist construction methods and materials will need to be used.

5.5.5 Where information is available, the water demand of each tree is provided at **Appendix 1**, in accordance with NHBC Standards 2014 chapter 4.2. 'Building near trees'. The water demand of trees can affect adjacent structures and this is therefore included to inform foundation design, depth and the proximity of proposed structures to trees.

5.5.6 Retained trees will require adequate protective measures during development. Such measures typically entail temporary protective fencing, installed to the full extent of the RPA. Where this is not entirely possible, ground protection may also comprise part of the protective measures. This includes a compaction reducing construction detail which enables a degree of construction traffic over/within the RPA.

© JCA Limited 2020

5.5.7 As the RPAs of the trees will require fencing off as a protection measure, this should be brought into consideration when planning such things as access routes and material storage during development. It is accepted that in some cases it is not entirely possible to completely avoid the RPA or canopy lines within a new development. The consulting arboriculturalist should therefore be made aware of any such incursions to make comment and, where possible, advise on mitigation actions. Such details should be contained within an Arboricultural Implications Assessment (AIA).

5.5.8 No material storage is permitted within the RPA of retained trees unless confirmed to be acceptable by the consulting arboriculturalist. The exact details and location of protective measures should be included within an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS).

5.5.9 The position of the site compound is a major consideration. It is recommended that this, which typically includes the site office, facilities, toilets, storage of materials and parking, is located away from trees and outside the RPA.

© JCA Limited 2020

6. Conclusions

6.1 The trees are not protected by a Tree Preservation Order or by virtue of them being in a Conservation Area.

6.2 **T19** and **T21** have been recommended for removal for arboricultural reasons as a matter of high priority, as summarised in **Section 5.2** and are detailed at **Appendix 1**.

6.3 No remedial tree pruning works are required under the current context of the site.

6.4 **T22** has been recommended for annual monitoring due to the presence of a structural defect, as summarised in **Section 5.4** and detailed at **Appendix 1**.

6.5 General design advice has been provided in **Section 5.5**.

6.6 Upon provision of specific proposals, site-specific advice can be given with regards to the impact on trees. In accordance with **Section 5.4** of **BS 5837: 2012**, the next stage on this site should be the preparation of an **Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)**, which will illustrate and discuss the impact of the proposals on the trees and vice versa, to help to inform good design.

6.7 The data gained during the survey provides an indication of the health of the trees. However, it does not enable a comprehensive assessment of their condition over time. Trees are living organisms which are affected by many factors including weather conditions, diseases/disorders, light levels and human activities. Because of this, this report is only valid for a period of 1 year from the date of issuing. Should an update or revision of this report be required outside of this time period, JCA may require a further site visit to ensure that the condition of the trees has not significantly changed. It is advised that the trees are inspected regularly, in the interests of risk management.

© JCA Limited 2020

Appendices

Tree Ref.	Age Common Name *Botanical Name*	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread N W E S	Observations	Recommendations Priority	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
T 1	Young Crab Apple *Malus sylvestris*	3	1	1 n/a	8	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. Minor wound at the base.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	FAIR	LOW	MOD	10+	C 1
T 2	Semi-mature Himalayan Birch *Betula utilis 'Jacquemontii'*	5	0.5	0.5 n/a	12, 8, 7	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	Multi-stemmed at 0.5m with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	20+	B 1
T 3	Semi-mature Crab Apple *Malus sylvestris*	3.5	1	1 n/a	10	1.8 1.5 1.8 1.8	Single-stemmed with a slight lean and a reasonably balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
T 4	Early-mature Holm Oak *Quercus ilex*	7	2	2 n/a	22 x 4 Avg.	4.5 0 5 4	Multi-stemmed at 0.5m with an unbalanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	HIGH	40+	B 1
G 5	Semi-mature Mixed species *Details in observations*	To 10	0+	0+ n/a	To 30	See plan	A linear group of Hazel, Holly, Beech and Downy Birch located on the woodland edge. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW TO MOD	40+	B 2
T 6	Early-mature Downy Birch *Betula pubescens*	14	4	2.5 E	33	4 3 4 3.5	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	20+	B 1
T 7	Early-mature Downy Birch *Betula pubescens*	13	4	4 n/a	35	3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	20+	B 1
G 8	Early-mature Beech *Fagus sylvatica*	To 13	2+	2+ n/a	To 35	See plan	Woodland trees of good form. Not fully inspected due to limited access.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 2
T 9	Early-mature Sycamore *Acer pseudoplatanus*	11	2	3 n/a	41	5 5 5 5	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 10	Early-mature English Oak *Quercus robur*	2	1	1 n/a	40	1 1 1 1	A topped stem with regrowth.	No action required. n/a	FAIR	FAIR	LOW	HIGH	10+	C 1

Tree Ref.	Age Common Name *Botanical Name*	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread N W E S	Observations	Recommendations Priority	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
G 11	Early-mature Mixed species *Details in observations*	To 14	2+	2+ n/a	To 58	See plan	A linear group of Beech, Norway Maple and English Oak of good form. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD TO HIGH	40+	1 B 2
T 12	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	11	2	4 n/a	55	6 6 6 6	Multi-stemmed at 3m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 13	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	10	3	3 n/a	50	6 6 6 6	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
G 14	Semi-mature Mixed species *Details in observations*	To 10	0+	0+ n/a	To 20	See plan	Holly, Hazel and Common Alder of reasonable form. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW TO MOD	20+	C 2
T 15	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	13	4	4 n/a	43	5 5 5 5	Twin-stemmed at 4m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 16	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	13	4	4 n/a	42	4 3.5 6 5	Twin-stemmed at 4m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 17	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	12	3	4 n/a	59	5 3.5 5 5	Twin-stemmed at 3m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 18	Semi-mature Japanese Maple *Acer palmatum*	3	1	0.5 n/a	10 x 3 Avg.	1.5 2 0 1.5	Multi-stemmed at ground level with an unbalanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
T 19	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	9	3	4 n/a	41	6 6 6 5	Multi-stemmed at 3.5m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. Significant included bark present at the stem junction, this tree is likely to fail in the short term.	Remove to ground level. High	GOOD	POOR	MOD	MOD	<10	U
G 20	Early-mature Mixed species *Details in observations*	To 16	2+	2+ n/a	To 48	See plan	A group of English Oak, Field Maple and Norway Maple of good form. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD TO HIGH	40+	1 B 2

Tree Ref.	Age Common Name *Botanical Name*	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread N W E S	Observations	Recommendations Priority	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
T 21	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	13	3	3 n/a	52	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	Twin-stemmed at 2m with a balanced crown. Significant included bark present at the stem junction, this tree is likely to fail in the short term.	Remove to ground level. High	GOOD	POOR	MOD	MOD	<10	U
T 22	Early-mature Norway Maple *Acer platanoides*	13	3	3 n/a	35	3 5 5 2.5	Twin-stemmed at 2.5m with a balanced crown. Torn out branch wound present at circa 2.5m	Monitor annually. Low	GOOD	FAIR	MOD	MOD	10+	C 1
T 23	Early-mature Beech *Fagus sylvatica*	15	4	3 n/a	43	7 7 4.5 4.5	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1
T 24	Early-mature Common Ash *Fraxinus excelsior*	13	2	2.5 n/a	23	3.5 2.5 2.5 2	Single-stemmed with a slight lean and a reasonably balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
T 25	Early-mature Beech *Fagus sylvatica*	13	1.5	23 n/a	29	4 4 3 3	Single-stemmed with a slight lean and a reasonably balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	40+	C 1

Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Descriptions

A2.1 Measurements/ Reference Information

A2.1.1 *REF NUMBER.* All items surveyed are allocated a reference number preceded with a letter, identifying the type of vegetation surveyed: T = an individual tree, G = a group of trees or an area of vegetation, W = woodland, H = a hedgerow.

A2.1.2 *SPECIES: COMMON AND BOTANICAL NAME*. The common and botanical names of the species present are noted. If the species is not clear or identifiable, then a general common name and genus will be noted.

A2.1.3 *AGE CLASS* of the tree is described as young, semi-mature, early-mature, mature, over-mature, veteran or dead.

A2.1.4 *HEIGHT* of the tree is measured in metres from the stem base to the top of the crown.

A2.1.5 *CROWN HEIGHT* is an indication of the height above ground level at which the crown begins.

A2.1.6 *STEM DIAMETER* is measured at 1.5 metres above (higher) ground level. Where the tree is multi-stemmed at this point; diameter measurements are taken for each stem. If more than five stems are present, an average stem diameter is taken. If for whatever reason it is not practical to measure multiple-stemmed trees in this way, the diameter is measured close to ground level, just above the root buttress.

A2.1.7 *CROWN SPREAD* is measured from the centre of the stem base to the tips of the branches to all four cardinal points.

A2.1.8 *HEIGHT AND DIRECTION OF LOWEST BRANCH.* The height and direction of the lowest significant branch is noted because of potential issues relating to clearances and the need for tree pruning.

A2.1.9 *NHBC WATER DEMAND.* The water demand of each tree, as listed in NHBC Standards 2010 Chapter 4.2 ‘Building near trees’. This is included to aid structural engineers, architects and other members of the design team as it determines foundation depth and other considerations with regard to trees.

© JCA Limited 2020

A2.2 Evaluations

A2.2.1 *PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION* is classed as good, fair, poor, or dead. This is an indication of the health and vitality of the tree and takes into account vigour, presence of disease and dieback.

A2.2.2 *STRUCTURAL CONDITION* is classed as good, fair or poor. This is an indication of the structural integrity of the tree and takes into account significant wounds, decay and quality of branch junctions.

A2.2.3 *LIFE EXPECTANCY* is classed as; Dead, less than 10 years, 10+ years, 20+ years, or 40 + years. This is an indication of the minimum number of years before removal of the tree is likely to be required.

A2.2.4 *AMENITY VALUE.* A general indication is given in respect to the amenity/landscape value of the tree/group within the surrounding area.

A2.2.5 *PRIORITIES.* A priority rating is given concerning the time periods in which the recommended works should be undertaken. LOW priority works should be undertaken within 12 months of the survey, MOD (moderate) priority works should be undertaken within 6 months and HIGH priority works should be completed as soon as practically possible. If no works are recommended, N/A (not applicable) will be used.

A2.3 Retention Categories

A2.3.1 ***A (marked green on the Tree Constraints Plan) = Trees of high quality.***

These trees are of high quality and value with a good life expectancy (usually with an estimated remaining life expectancy of 40 years).

A2.3.2 ***B (marked in blue on the Tree Constraints Plan) = Trees of moderate quality.***

These trees are of moderate quality and value with a reasonable life expectancy (usually with an estimated life expectancy of at least 20 years).

A2.3.3 ***C (marked in grey on the Tree Constraints Plan) = Trees of low quality.***

These trees are of low quality and value but which are in adequate condition to remain or are young trees with a stem diameter below 15cm (usually with an estimated life expectancy of at least 10 years).

A2.3.4 Trees categorised as retention category ‘A’, ‘B’ or ‘C’ are then justified by being further divided into 3 subcategories:

1 = Mainly arboricultural qualities.

2 = Mainly landscape qualities.

3 = Mainly cultural values, including conservation value.

© JCA Limited 2020

A2.3.5 ***U (marked in red on the Tree Constraints Plan) = Trees usually unsuitable for retention due to poor condition.***

These trees are in such a condition that they cannot be realistically retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. This may be due to any of the following:

1) Failure is likely due to serious, irredeemable, structural defects.
2) Removal of other category U trees will render them exposed and unstable.
3) They are in serious, overall decline or are dead.
4) They are of low quality and suppressing adjacent trees of better quality.
5) Diseases are present which may affect the health of adjacent trees.

These trees are to be removed or managed in a way which reduces their risk of failure, where they have high ecological value, such as in a woodland setting.

© JCA Limited 2020

Appendix 3: General Guidelines

A3.1 All tree work should be undertaken to BS 3998: 2010 '*Recommendations for tree work'* or other recognised industry practice.

A3.2 Staff carrying out the work must be qualified, experienced and ideally be Arboricultural Association approved contractors. They should be covered by adequate public liability insurance.

A3.3 This report is based upon a visual inspection. The consultant shall not be responsible for events which happen after this time due to factors which were not apparent at the time, and the acceptance of this report constitutes an agreement with the guidelines and the terms listed therein.

A3.4 Any defects seen by a contractor or the employer that were not apparent to the consultant must be brought to the consultant's attention immediately.

A3.5 No liability can be accepted by JCA in respect of the trees unless the recommendations of this report are carried out under the supervision of JCA and within JCA's timescale.

A3.6 It is advisable to have trees inspected by an arboricultural consultant on a regular basis.

© JCA Limited 2020

Appendix 4: Glossary of Terms & Abbreviations

Arboriculture	The cultivation of trees in order to produce individual specimens of the greatest ornament, for shelter or any primary purpose other than the production of timber or fruit.
Canker	Disease damaged area of a tree, usually caused by fungus or bacteria affecting the bark.
Co-dominant stem	A stem which has grown in direct competition to the main stem and which has formed a substantial size influencing the appearance of the tree.
Crown lift	The removal of the lowest branches, usually to a given height. It allows more residual light and greater clearance underneath for vehicles etc.
Crown reduction	The reduction of a tree's height and spread while preserving its natural shape.
Crown thin	The removal of some of the density of a tree's crown**,** usually 5-15% allowing more light through its canopy and reducing wind resistance.
Deadwood	Either dead branches, or a procedure involving the removal of dead, dying and diseased branches.
Dieback	Where branches are beginning to show signs of death usually at the tips in the crown.
Epicormic shoots	Small branches that grow in clusters around the base of the stem of a tree or within the crown. This is usually as a result of bad pruning or some other stress factor, although can be a natural growth pattern for some species of tree (eg Lime species).
Included bark	Where the bark on two adjoining branches or stems is growing tight together, forming a joint with limited physical strength.
Pollarding	A method of tree management in which the main trunk and principle branches of the tree are cut to the same height, and the resulting branches are then cropped on a regular basis.
Remedial pruning	The removal of old stubs, deadwood, epicormic growth, rubbing or crossing branches and other unwanted items from the tree's crown. Sometimes referred to as crown cleaning.
RPA	Root Protection Area – Theoretical rooting area of a tree as defined in BS 5837:2012 '*Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'*.
Topping	Topping is a form of pruning that removes terminal growth leaving a 'stub' cut end. Topping can cause serious health problems to a tree.

© JCA Limited 2020

Appendix 5: Author Qualifications

Principal Consultant and Managing Director

Jonathan Cocking *F.R.E.S., Tech. Cert. (Arbor.A), PDipArb (RFS) FArborA CBiol MSB. MICFor.* Jonathan is a Registered Consultant and Fellow of the Arboricultural Association and sits on its Professional Committee. He has 31 years' experience in the Arboricultural profession and served for eight years as Senior Arboriculturist with a large local authority before establishing JCA in 1997. Jonathan has since developed JCA's portfolio of services and its extensive client base. He is a Chartered Biologist, a Chartered Arboriculturalist and an Expert Witness with much experience of litigation work.

Technical Director

Toby Thwaites *BSc (Hons), HND (Arboriculture), MArborA.*. Toby joined JCA in 1998 after graduating in Ecology at the University of Huddersfield and has since graduated in Arboriculture at the University of Central Lancashire. A former JCA team leader and Consulting Arboriculturist, Toby is now Technical Director and oversees all office and on-site activities at JCA and is on hand to offer technical support and advice.

Consulting Staff: Arboriculture

Andrew Bussey. Andrew started working in consultancy at JCA in 2006 having spent 12 years working as an arborist for various private companies before joining a Local Authority forestry team. He has various NPTC qualifications, is QTRA qualified and is a LANTRA Accredited Professional Tree Inspector.

Phil Humeniuk *FdSc (Arboriculture).* Phil joined JCA having spent 3 years working for various tree surgery companies and as a Tree Officer for a Local Authority. He also has several years' experience working as a consultant both for JCA and for another consultancy. Phil obtained his foundation degree in Arboriculture at the University of Central Lancashire and has various NPTC's and is LANTRA certified in Professional Tree Inspection.

Emily Wilde *FdSc (Arboriculture).* Emily joined JCA having previously worked for various private tree surgery and consultancy companies over the past 8 years. She initially obtained a ND in Forestry & Arboriculture, followed by a FdSc in Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College, York. Emily has various NPTC certificates and is QTRA qualified.

Mick Eltringham *ND (Forestry).* Mick joined JCA after spending 12 years working in the industry for various private companies in the north and south of England. He has also spent the last five years working as a consultant for two canopy research projects in the Amazon Rainforest, working with Oxford University and the University of Arizona. He has various NPTC Qualifications.

Charles Cocking *FdSc (Arboriculture), MArborA.* Charles joined JCA in January 2014 as an Apprentice having previously worked for the company on a part time basis during 2013. Charles obtained his Foundation Degree in Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College, York.

Robert Hickey *FdSc (Arboriculture), TechArborA.* Robert joined JCA in January 2019 having obtained his foundation degree in Arboriculture at the University of Central Lancashire. He has various NPTC's qualifications and has previously worked for several Arboricultural contractors.

Dan Kemp *FdSc (Arboriculture).* Dan joined JCA with nearly 30 years' experience in arboriculture. He worked as a London Tree Officer for 12 years and in several arboricultural and horticultural management posts, specialising particularly in tree risk assessments and tree related subsidence.

Ryan Bateman *BSc (Hons), FdSc (Arboriculture), TechArborA.* Ryan joined JCA in 2020 after working as a Lecturer on the Foundation Degree in Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College in York. Ryan has both practical skills, NPTC qualifications and theoretical knowledge and owned his own contracting business prior to, and whilst working as a lecturer.

© JCA Limited 2020

Consulting Staff: Ecology

Amanda Beck, Ecological Officer *Cert/He in Field Ecology, Diploma Field and Conservation Ecology, CIEEM member.* Amanda joined JCA's ecology department in 2018, previously working as a freelance Ecological Consultant in North Wales and as a trainee Ecologist in South Wales. She has a background surveying for botanical, amphibians, birds, terrestrial and marine mammals along with small mammal trapping and invertebrate research work on SSSI sites. She has practical experience in habitat management and creation while working as a volunteer for North Wales Wildlife Trust and currently volunteers with Yorkshire Wildlife Trust. She is a member of the Butterfly Conservation Trust, Bat Conservation Trust, Clwyd Bat Group and the British Hedgehog Preservation Society. Amanda is DBS checked and holds a Natural England level 1 bat licence.

Joe Earnshaw, Graduate Ecologist *BSc (Hons), MSc Biodiversity and Conservation, Qualifying CIEEM Member.* Joe joined the ecology department of JCA in 2018 after taking part in JCA's student training programme. He initially obtained a bachelor degree in Animal Management from Askham Bryan College, York. He has since furthered his education and brings to the company an MSc in Biodiversity and Conservation from the University of Leeds. Joe has expertise in aquatic invasive species identification and control.

Administrative Staff

Sue Guest Administrative Team Leader.
Catherine Cocking Accounts Manager.
Kelly Saunders Accounts Assistant.
Simeon Haigh *BSc (Hons).* IT Director.
Lorraine Spink Administrative Assistant.
Lisa Hampson Marketing Manager.

© JCA Limited 2020

N

W E

S

T22

T21

G20

T16

T15

G14

T17

T19

T25

T24

T23

T18

T13

G8

G5

T7

T6

G5

T3

T12

G11

T2

T1

T4

T10

T9

Appendix 6:
Tree Constraints Plan

ADDRESS: Hollingworth Lake Visitors Centre, Littleborough, Lancashire, OL15 0AQ.
JCA REF: 16522/AJB.

SCALE : 1:200	PAPER SIZE : A1

SURVEYED BY: AJB	DRAWN BY: AJB	APPROVED BY: ChC

BRITISH STANDARD 5837:2012: 4.5
RETENTION CATEGORIES

Detailed definitions of these catagories are at Appendix 2 of our report. N.B. These categories do not necessarily represent or correspond to recommendations for action made in this report.

	CATEGORY A: 'RETENTION MOST DESIRABLE'
	CATEGORY B: 'RETENTION DESIRABLE'
	CATEGORY C: 'TREE WHICH COULD BE RETAINED'
	CATEGORY U: 'TREE FOR REMOVAL'
	STEM OF TREE TO BE RETAINED
	STEM OF TREE TO BE REMOVED
	ROOT PROTECTION AREA

JCA Limited

Arboricultural & Ecological Consultants

Root Protection Area: RPA

THE ROOT PROTECTION AREA SHOULD IDEALLY REMAIN UNDISTURBED IF THE TREE IS TO BE RETAINED.

THE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS SHOULD THEREFORE BE DESIGNED TO AVOID THE RPA OF ANY TREE WHICH IS TO BE RETAINED.

IF IT IS NECESSARY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT TO ENCROACH INTO THE RPA OF A TREE WHICH IS TO BE RETAINED THEN SPECIALIST CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES AND MATERIALS MUST BE CONSIDERED.

0 1 2 3 4 5 10 15 20 metres

THIS PLAN IS TO BE PRINTED IN COLOUR AND READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE JCA ARBORICULTURAL REPORT (JCA REF: 16522/AJB)

I hope that this report provides all the necessary information, but should any further advice be needed please do not hesitate to contact the author.

Signed



.....

Andrew Bussey *LANTRA Accredited PTI.*

11th December 2020

For and on behalf of ***JCA Ltd***

Registered Office:

Unit 80
Bowers Mill
Branch Road
Barkisland
Halifax
HX4 0AD

Tel. 01422 376335
Fax. 01422 376232
Email: jon@jcaac.com

www.jcaac.com

Report printed on recycled paper

© JCA Limited 2020

JCA Ltd. Arboricultural and Ecological Consultants
Professional Tree and Ecology Advice nationwide

ARBORICULTURAL SERVICES

Guidance for Architects and Developers

- British Standard 5837 Tree Surveys
- Arboricultural Implication Assessments (AIA)
- Arboricultural Method Statements (AMS)

Advice for Engineers, Loss Adjusters and Insurers

- Tree Surveys for Subsidence
- Heave Assessment
- Tree Root Identification

Advice for Local Authorities and Social Housing

- Tree Safety Surveys
- Specialist Decay Detection
- Landscape and Orchard Design

Tree Advice for the Legal Profession

- Subsidence Litigation
- Personal Injury and Accident Investigation
- Expert Witness, Planning Inquiries and Appeals

Veteran Tree Management

- Ancient Woodland Management
- Veteran Tree Management

Tree Health and Pest and Disease Management

- Pest and Disease Surveys
- Tree Health Checks
- Disease Mitigation and Control

ECOLOGICAL SERVICES

Ecological Pre-Planning Services

- Phase 1 Habitat Surveys
- Great Crested Newt eDNA Sampling
- Protected Species: Bat, Wintering and Nesting Bird, Badger, Amphibian, Otter, Water Vole, White-Clawed Crayfish, Dormice and Reptile Surveys.
- Preparation for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
- Invasive Species Surveys
- Code for Sustainable Homes

Ecological Post-Planning Services

- Biodiversity Enhancement Plans
- Protected Species Mitigation
- Ecological Management (Bat and Bird box installation and inspection)



HEAD QUARTERS:

Unit 80 Bowers Mill,
Branch Road,
Barkisland,
Halifax, HX4 0AD.

Tel: 01422 376335
Mobile: 07778 391986
Email: jon@jcaac.com
Website: www.jcaac.com

Company Reg No. 05005041
VAT No. 686 4674 78