

BS 5837 Arboricultural Report

Impact Assessment



at
71 Kenyon Lane
Middleton
M24 2QS

Dated
6th November 2023



CROWN
Tree Consultancy

Branching out through England and Wales

Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Instruction	3
1.2.	Purpose of this Report	3
1.3.	References	3
1.4.	Author	3
2.	The Survey	4
2.1.	Methodology	4
2.2.	Survey Extent	5
2.3.	Summary of Observations	5
3.	Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)	6
3.1.	Preliminary Management Recommendations	6
3.2.	Work Priority and Future Inspections	6
4.	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
4.1.	Overview	7
4.2.	Tree Removal	7
4.3.	Tree Pruning	7
4.4.	Impact of Foundations	8
4.5.	Impact of Surfacing	8
4.6.	Underground Services:	8
4.7.	Changes in Ground Levels:	8
4.8.	Demolition Activities	9
4.9.	Waste and Materials Storage	9
4.10.	Cabins and Site Facilities	9
4.11.	Boundary Treatments	9
4.12.	Impact of Retained Trees on the Development	9
4.13.	Arboricultural Method Statement	9
Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Interpretation Guide		10
Appendix 2: Glossary of Tree Data		11
Appendix 3: Author's Qualifications		12
Appendix 4: Tree Data Schedule and Drawings		13

1. Introduction

1.1. Instruction

1.1.1. We are instructed by Amjad Tahir of AFR Studio Ltd to:

- Undertake a Tree Survey to BS 5837 at 71 Kenyon Lane and assess all trees potentially within influencing distance of proposed development within the site.
- Plot the trees on a Tree Constraints Plan and record the data in a Tree Data Schedule.
- Provide preliminary management recommendations for the tree stock (independent of development proposals).
- Assess the potential impact of the development proposals and provide guidance as to appropriate mitigation measures.
- Produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment for submission to the local authority.

1.2. Purpose of this Report

- 1.2.1. This report is produced according to the guidance and recommendations within *BS 5837: 2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition, and Construction*. It is tailored to accompany a planning application. It assesses the impact of all proposed construction works on the tree population. Tree removal, canopy pruning, and the impact upon roots from various groundworks are all considered in detail. Best practice mitigation is specified wherever appropriate.
- 1.2.2. Consideration is also given to the impact of the changed juxtaposition between trees and buildings and how that may influence future tree management.
- 1.2.3. This document should not be used to inform management decisions relating to liability or risk management. Such decisions should be based on a more detailed inspection of the trees than was carried out for this report.

1.3. References

- 1.3.1. We have liaised with our client to attain an adequate understanding of the project to enable us to carry out an accurate assessment of the proposals.

1.4. Author

- 1.4.1. This report was compiled by Joe Taylor - FdSc (Arboriculture), M. Arbor A. Joe's resumé can be found in Appendix 3.

2. The Survey

A visual ground-level assessment of all trees was undertaken on the 16th of October 2023 by Joe Taylor. No climbed inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken.

2.1. Methodology

- 2.1.1. Structural condition was assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches, looking for weak branch junctions, symptoms of decay, or other structural defects. Any recommended works were made to ensure the trees are in acceptable structural condition. The position of the tree and its potential targets were considered.
- 2.1.2. Physiological condition was assessed by inspecting the stem, branches, and foliage for symptoms of disease. The vigour of the tree was also considered.
- 2.1.3. Key measurements were obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and logger's tape. Where this was not practical, measurements were estimated.
- 2.1.4. Some trees may be surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.
- 2.1.5. The locations of all key trees have been plotted according to measurements taken on site.
- 2.1.6. Finally, a *Retention Category* is allocated. The relevant BS5837 2012 cascade chart is duplicated below.


Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</i>			See Table 2
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	See Table 2
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2

- 2.1.7. Further guidance on interpreting BS 5837 and our survey methodology is given in Appendix 1.

2.2. Survey Extent

- 2.2.1. The area indicated below¹ shows the extent of the survey. Our survey included all trees within the curtilage of the property and those adjacent to it.



2.3. Summary of Observations

- 2.3.1. Number 71 Kenyon Lane is a public house with a residential flat on the first floor.
- 2.3.2. The surrounding gardens consist of an asphalt driveway, patio and lawn.
- 2.3.3. Within the curtilage of the site, we identified two Retention Category B trees and one Retention Category C tree.
- 2.3.4. Beyond the curtilage of the site, we identified two Retention Category B trees and two Retention Category C trees.
- 2.3.5. The Tree Constraints Plan and Tree Data Schedule (see Appendix 6) should be referred to for descriptions and locations of all trees.

¹ Image taken from Google Earth and may not be current

3. Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)

This section summarises all the recommendations within the Tree Data Schedule regardless of whether trees are to be retained, felled or pruned to facilitate the proposed development. It does not specify works that may be required to facilitate the development proposals.

3.1. Preliminary Management Recommendations

- 3.1.1. The following recommendations are made to maintain the trees in an acceptable condition:
- 3.1.2. T1 is considered to be in an acceptable condition at present but has defects which require monitoring.
- 3.1.3. T2 and T3 could not be fully inspected due to the presence of dense ivy or undergrowth. It is recommended that the ivy or undergrowth is removed so that the trees may be re-inspected. Trees included are T2 and T3.
- 3.1.4. All other trees were deemed to be in satisfactory condition.

3.2. Work Priority and Future Inspections

- 3.2.1. The table below suggests a schedule for completing the works recommended in the Tree Data Schedule based on the perceived risk:

Work Priority	Definition	Tree Number
Urgent	As soon as possible	None
Very High	Within 1 Month	None
High	Within 3 Months	None
Moderate	Within 1 year	T2 and T3
Low	Within 3 years	T1

- 3.2.2. The table below suggests a schedule of future inspections based on the condition and location of each tree:

Inspection Frequency (years)	Tree Number
0.5	None
1	T2 and T3
1.5	None
3	T1, T4, T5 and G6

- 3.2.3. The trees should be inspected sooner if there is a noticeable decline in their condition or following extreme weather events.

4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

4.1. Overview

4.1.1. It is proposed to construct a new dwelling and demolish and rebuild the existing storeroom to the rear of the pub, as indicated on the drawings in Appendix 6. The existing layout is indicated in black, and the footprint of the proposed layout is indicated in red.

4.1.2. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

Activity	Trees Potentially Affected
Tree Removal: Retention Category A	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category B	T2 and T3
Tree Removal: Retention Category C	T1
Tree Removal: Retention Category U	None
Tree Pruning	T5
RPA: House Foundations	None
RPA: Other Foundations	None
RPA: New Hard Surface	None
RPA: Replace Existing Hard Surface	None
RPA: Underground Services	None Anticipated
RPA: Change of Ground Levels	None
RPA: Soil Compaction	Trees adjacent the construction area (preventable by installing tree protection measures)

4.1.1. Other potentially damaging activities often associated with construction sites include demolition or the careless use of plant machinery, hazardous materials, or fires. All of the above potential impacts are considered in detail throughout this Section.

4.2. Tree Removal

4.2.1. To enable the development, It is proposed to remove two Retention Category B trees and one Retention Category C tree. The trees to be removed are specified in the above table.

4.3. Tree Pruning

4.3.1. The table below specifies the proposed pruning works:

Tree No	Recommendation	Reason
T5	Crown reduce that side of the canopy facing the proposal by 6.5m to create a 3m clearance.	To enable adequate clearance between the proposal and the tree canopy.

4.3.2. The proposed pruning shall not have a significant impact on local levels of visual amenity.

4.3.3. All other tree canopies shall be unaffected by the proposals.

4.4. Impact of Foundations

4.4.1. The table below assesses the impact of proposed surfacing in Root Protection Areas:

Tree No	Nature of Foundation	Portion of RPA	Proposed Mitigation
T5	Shallow	<20%	<u>Shallow Raft or Beam Foundation Method</u> - In the direction of the tree, excavation is not to exceed 250mm beyond the build-line. - Excavation depth for raft or beam not to exceed 400mm. - Hand tools to be used to excavate. - Exposed roots over 25mm diameter shall be retained and protected with damp hessian if practicable, else pruned. - RC Raft or beam installed. This may be supported by narrow diameter piles (max 300mm diameter). - Trial pits excavated to determine pile locations. All roots over 25mm diameter to be retained intact and pile relocated.

4.4.2. These measures accord with industry best-practice² and shall ensure minimal impact on roots.

4.5. Impact of Surfacing

4.5.1. No new hard surfaces are proposed within the Root Protection Areas of any trees.

4.6. Underground Services:

4.6.1. The location of any underground services is yet to be determined. Wherever possible, these should be located outside of Root Protection Areas. Otherwise, the project arborist must be consulted, and approval obtained from the local authority.

4.7. Changes in Ground Levels:

4.7.1. No changes to ground levels are proposed over Root Protection Areas.

Soil Compaction:

4.7.2. The majority of tree roots lie within the upper soil horizons. This is because the availability of oxygen decreases with depth, and roots need to breathe to stay alive. In addition, nutrients are more readily available in the form of organic matter close to the soil surface.

4.7.3. Healthy soils contain about 25% air space between solid particles. Increased loading of the soil caused by construction activity causes air to be squeezed out as the soil becomes compacted, preventing roots from breathing. Even an increase in pedestrian activity may cause some soil compaction.

4.7.4. It is important therefore that ground compaction and soil disturbance over Root Protection Areas should be avoided during the construction phase. Where access is required over Root Protection Areas, suitable ground protection measures must be installed.



² BS 5837 (2012 section 7.5 and 7.6)

4.8. Demolition Activities

- 4.8.1. Care is required to avoid damaging trees when removing adjacent surfaces or structures. Surfaces must be lifted using hand tools or a carefully marshalled mechanical excavator. Walls must be demolished away from stems, and in a manner that doesn't damage branches. Removal of underground foundations requires extra special care to avoid root damage. During the implementation of this project, the following activities require special care:

- Demolition of structure close to T5.
- Removal of foundations close to T5.

4.9. Waste and Materials Storage

- 4.9.1. All hazardous materials (including cement and petrochemical products) will need to be controlled according to COSHH regulations in order to ensure there is no detrimental impact on tree health. Provision shall need to be made to ensure that cement spillage avoids all Root Protection Areas.
- 4.9.2. Areas designated for the storage of building materials and waste products will need to be approved by the local authority. Root Protection Areas should be avoided. Where this is not possible, suitable ground protection measures will need to be installed.

4.10. Cabins and Site Facilities

- 4.10.1. Any cabins and welfare facilities should be located outside of Root Protection Areas wherever possible. Otherwise, the project arborist should be consulted, and approval obtained from the local authority.

4.11. Boundary Treatments

- 4.11.1. No changes are proposed to the existing boundary features that might impact on trees.

4.12. Impact of Retained Trees on the Development

- 4.12.1. The foundations and any new surfaces should be designed to accommodate all potential impacts due to future tree rooting activity. These include potential vegetation-related subsidence, vegetation-related heave, and lifting of surfaces / light structures due to direct root pressure.

4.13. Arboricultural Method Statement

- 4.13.1. BS 5837 recommends that a detailed methodology is agreed in the form of an Arboricultural Method Statement, which shall ensure that trees are well protected during the construction phase. This should detail all tree protection measures and limitations on construction activity. All of the issues raised within this Impact Assessment should be covered by the Method Statement.

Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Interpretation Guide

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with planning applications to form balanced judgments.

Stage 1: Survey Details and Notes

A ground-level visual survey is undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it, are included.

Where applicable, trees with significant defects are highlighted and appropriate remedial works are recommended.

Wherever practicable dimensions are obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees on privately owned third-party land are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.

Data is recorded for each tree and is presented in a Tree Data Schedule. Each tree is allocated a **Retention Category** according to its size, amenity value, condition, and safe useful life expectancy. The categories are allocated independently of development proposals. Our interpretation of the Retention Categories is explained below:

Retention Categories

A Category: Trees of high quality and amenity value. Usually, mature trees with a significant life expectancy which would enhance any development. Retention of these trees is strongly encouraged.

B Category: Trees of moderate quality and amenity value. Usually these are maturing trees or younger trees with exceptional form. Retention of these trees is desirable though the removal of occasional specimens may be acceptable.

C Category: Trees of low quality or small specimens with a relatively low amenity value. These trees are not considered to be a material planning constraint and their removal will generally be seen as acceptable in order to facilitate development.

U Category: Trees of such low quality that their removal is recommended regardless of development proposals.

Occasionally trees are borderline and do not fall neatly into one of these categories. In such cases we apply a superscript (+/-) such that:

C* Indicates borderline C/B, though Category C is deemed to be most appropriate.

B* Indicates borderline C/B, though Category B is deemed to be most appropriate.

The British Standard suggests that each of the A, B and C categories may be further subdivided (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 etc) such that subcategory 1 denotes mainly arboricultural values, subcategory 2 denotes mainly landscape values and subcategory 3 denotes mainly cultural values (including conservation). Multiple subcategories may be used.

Our experience suggests that these subdivisions lack clarity and can be confusing. Within this report subcategories are **not** denoted. Where appropriate, the use of phrases such as 'Part of a formal group', or 'Has a high ecological value', or 'Offers good screening to the site' are incorporated into the observation section of the Tree Data Schedule. We believe this conveys all relevant landscape and cultural information without any confusion.

Tree Constraints Plan (TCP). This indicates the position, crown spread, Retention Category and Root Protection Area of each tree. It is used to inform where development may proceed without causing damage to trees.

Root Protection Area (RPA). This is the area around each tree likely to contain the majority of roots. It should ideally remain undisturbed to avoid a detrimental impact on tree health. For single stemmed trees it is calculated according to the formula "radius of RPA" = "12 x stem diameter". Where a tree has more than one stem, the equivalent-single-stem diameter is usually recorded. This is calculated by adding the squares of the stems and then finding the square root of this total. The radius of the Root Protection Area is then calculated by multiplying the equivalent-stem-diameter by 12.

Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high-quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have on the proposal. The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees.

Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. The site manager should be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which appertain to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.

Appendix 2: Glossary of Tree Data

This section explains the terms used in the **Tree Data Schedule** (see Section 3 and Appendix 6).

A2.1 General Observations

Numbering System:	Each item of vegetation has its own unique number prefixed by a letter such that T1=Tree 1, G2=Group 2, H3=Hedge 3 and W4=Woodland 4, S5=Shrub 5.
Age Categories:	
Young	Usually less than 10 years old.
Semi-Mature	Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy).
Early-Mature	Full height almost attained. Significant growth may be expected in terms of crown spread (typically 30-60% of life expectancy).
Mature	Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy).
Veteran	A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required in order to keep the tree in a safe condition.
Over Mature	As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile.
Species:	Common names and Latin names are given.
Height:	Measured from ground level to the top of the crown.
Stem Diameter:	Taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible. On multi-stemmed trees this measurement may be taken at ground level, though usually an indication of the number of stems and average diameter is given, e.g. 3 x 30cm.
Crown Height:	Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be most relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.
Tree Diagram:	This scaled drawing is computer generated based on measurements taken for stem diameter, crown height and spread, and overall height. It is designed to help the reader rapidly assess the data. It is not an accurate representation of the form of the tree.
Crown Spread:	Measured N, E, S & W, taken from the centre of the stem and usually rounded up to the nearest metre.
Observations:	If a tree's position is considered to be relevant it will be commented upon (e.g. overhanging a children's play area). Tree form and pruning history are also recorded along with an account of any significant defects. Defects and descriptive terms are dealt with in more detail at the end of this section.
Recommendations:	Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.
Priority Scale:	Depending upon the threat posed by the tree, and the likelihood of failure, recommendations should be carried out according to the following priority scale:
Urgent	To be carried out as soon as possible.
Very High	To be carried out within 1 month.
High	To be carried out within 3 months.
Moderate	To be carried out within 1 year.
Low	To be carried out within 3 years.
Inspection Frequency:	An interval of 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years or 3 years is allocated before the next inspection is due. Wherever practical, consideration should be given to seasonal changes so that deciduous trees are not always surveyed in winter when they have no leaves, or in summer when leaves may obscure branches within the upper crown.
Vigour:	An indication of growth rate and the tree's ability to cope with stresses:
High	Having above average vigour.
Moderate	Having average vigour.
Low	Having below average vigour.
Very Low	Tree is struggling to survive and may be dying.
Physiological Condition:	
Good	Healthy and with no symptoms of significant disease.
Fair	Disease present or vigour is impaired.
Poor	Significant disease present or vigour is extremely low.
Very Poor	Tree is dying.
Structural Condition:	
Good	Having no significant structural defects.
Fair	Some defects observed though no high priority works are required.
Poor	Significant defects found. Tree requires monitoring or remedial works.
Very Poor	Major defects which will usually require significant remedial works or tree removal.
Amenity Value:	
Very High	Exceptional specimen, observable by a large number of people.
High	Attractive specimen, observable by a significant number of people.
Moderate	One of the above factors is not applicable.
Low	Unattractive specimen or largely hidden from view.
Life Expectancy:	The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal. Classified as (<10), (10 – 20), (20 – 40), or (40+).
Retention Category:	These are explained in detail in Appendix 1.

A2.2 Evaluation of Defects

Cavities, wounds, deadwood etc are all evaluated as follows:

Major	Such that structural integrity is, or will become, compromised and the tree is, or will inevitably become, hazardous.
Significant	A defect that may over time become a major defect, though not necessarily so. This will depend on the vigour of the tree and its ability to deal with decay etc.
Minor	A defect that is unlikely to develop into a major defect.

Appendix 3: Author's Qualifications

Qualifications & Experience of Joe Taylor - MArborA, FdSc (Arboriculture)

Joe began his career in Arboriculture as a tree surgeon/climber. During his time as a tree surgeon, Joe has achieved City & Guilds NPTC qualifications in Chainsaw Maintenance and Cross Cutting, Tree Climbing and Rescue, Safe Use of Manually Fed Wood-chipper and Supporting Colleagues Undertaking Tree Related Operations.

Joe obtained a Foundation Degree in Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College in 2015 which he passed with merit. Joe is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association, the International Society of Arboriculture, and the Royal Forestry Society and regularly attends industry-related seminars in to keep abreast of industry best practices.

Studying at Askham Bryan College reinforced Joe's passion for trees and drove his enthusiasm to learn more. Learning how trees interact with their surrounding environment and their importance within our urban and rural landscapes highlighted an interest in pursuing a career in consultancy.

Since working for Crown Consultants Joe has undertaken numerous surveys and produced numerous reports for the purpose of planning (BS 5837), tree condition surveys, subsidence risk assessments, root surveys and decay detection investigations.

Appendix 4: Tree Data Schedule and Drawings

The Tree Data Schedule and any drawings accompanying this report follow this page. They are also provided as separate documents for ease of printing and screen viewing.

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W E S	Scaled Tree Diagram (m) 909	Notes	Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour	Amenity Value
								Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)
										Structural Condition	Retention Category
T1	Early-Mature Cherry Prunus sp.	8	2	32	4.5 3.5 3 2.5	250 	Form: Single stemmed and vertical with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: Major bark wound from ground level to 3.5m with early decay to upper exposed timber - acceptable condition at present.	Monitor.		High Good Fair	High 10-20 C -
	Low	3									
T2	Early-Mature Cherry Prunus sp.	13	2	43	4 4.5 3.5 4.5	250 	Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Ivy prevented detailed inspection.	Remove ivy and inspect stem for defects.		Moderate Good Fair	High 20-40 B
	Moderate	1									
T3	Mature Cherry Prunus sp.	13	2	57	4 2.5 5.5 4.5	250 	Form: Multi-stemmed at ground level with an unbalanced crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Ivy prevented detailed inspection.	Remove ivy and inspect stem for defects.		Moderate Good Fair	High 20-40 B
	Moderate	1									
T4	Early-Mature Cherry Prunus sp.	14	1	50	5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5	250 	Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Twin-stemmed specimen. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.	No action required.		Moderate Good Fair	Low 20-40 B
	n/a	3									
T5	Mature Goat Willow Salix caprea.	12	2.5	70	8 9 8 7	250 	Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Multi-stemmed specimen. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.	No action required.		Moderate Good Fair	Low 20-40 B
	n/a	3									
G6	Young Lawson Cypress Chamaecyparis lawsoniana.	av 4	av 2	av 6	av 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 each	250 	Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Two vertical specimens. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.	No action required.		High Good Good	Low 40+ C
	n/a	3									



Drawing No:	CCL 11660 / TCP Rev: 1
Title:	Tree Constraints Plan (Existing Layout)
Site:	71 Kenyon Lane, M24 2QS
Scale:	0 1:100 5
Paper Size:	A1



Tree Retention Categories	
Stems & canopies shown	
	Category A tree
	Category B tree
	Category C tree
	Category U tree

	Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.
	Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees
	Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.
	Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

Tree Constraints Plan

Status: Final

	BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)	
	Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of existing road or building.	
	Root Protection Area having been amended to account for for site conditions	
T1 = Tree No 1	G2 = Group No 2	H3 = Hedge No 3

MN = Measured North:
Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.


Tree Ref.	Species	Height (m)	Root Protection Area		
			Radius (m)	m ²	Squares (m)
T1	Cherry	8	3.8	46	6.8
T2	Cherry	13	5.2	84	9.1
T3	Cherry	13	6.8	147	12.1
T4	Cherry	14	6.0	113	10.6
T5	Goat Willow	12	8.4	222	14.9
G6	Lawson Cypress	4	0.7	2	1.3



It is proposed to crown reduce that side of the canopy facing the proposal by 6.5m to create a 3m clearance.
This will enable adequate clearance between the proposal and the tree canopy.

To minimise the impact on the RPA of T5, a shallow raft or beam foundation is proposed. The following restrictions shall apply:

- In the direction of the tree, excavation not to exceed 250mm beyond the build-line.
- Excavation depth for raft or beam not to exceed 400mm.
- Hand tools used to excavate.
- Exposed roots over 25mm diameter retained if practicable, else pruned.
- RC Raft or beam installed. This may be supported by narrow diameter piles (max 300mm diameter).
- Trial pits excavated to determine pile locations. All roots over 25mm diameter to be retained intact and pile relocated.




Drawing No:	CCL 11660 / IAP Rev: 1
Title:	Impact Assessment Plan
Site:	71 Kenyon Lane, M24 2QS
Scale:	0 5 10m 1:100
Paper Size:	A1




Tree Retention Categories	
Stems & canopies shown	
	Category A tree
	Category B tree
	Category C tree
	Category U tree



Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.



Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees.



Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.



Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

Impact Assessment Plan

Status: Final - for submission

	B5 s837 Root Protection Area (radius = 1xstem diameter)
	Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of existing road or building.
	Root Protection Area having been amended to account for site conditions
T1 = Tree No 1	G2 = Group No 2
H3 = Hedge No 3	

	Tree to be removed to facilitate the proposal
	Tree to be removed due to its low quality
	Proposed pruning

MN = Measured North:
Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.

Tree Ref.	Species	Height (m)	Root Protection Area		
			Radius (m)	m ²	Squares (m)
T1	Cherry	8	3.8	46	6.8
T2	Cherry	13	5.2	84	9.1
T3	Cherry	13	6.8	147	12.1
T4	Cherry	14	6.0	113	10.6
T5	Goat Willow	12	8.4	222	14.9
G6	Lawson Cypress	4	0.7	2	1.3