


BARTON WILLMORE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN ROCHDALE– BOUNDARY RISK ASSESSMENT

CLIENT	Barton Willmore
SITE ADDRESS	Rochdale Rd, Middleton, Manchester M24 2RB
CLIENT CONTACT	Michael Conroy

REPORT NUMBER	LSUK.22-0705-A1	
VERSION NUMBER & DATE	2.0	16/02/2023
REPORTED BY		Tom Would Site Engineer
APPROVED BY		David James Managing Director

INTRODUCTION	To assess the potential risk of cricket balls surpassing the boundaries of a cricket pitch at Thornham Cricket Club, Labosport Ltd has reviewed the site distances and topography to analyse the risk of balls surpassing the site boundaries. The analysis uses a cricket ball trajectory model that has been developed by Labosport, in collaboration with the ECB. If required, the report will identify the height of any ball trajectory mitigation to minimise the potential risks. Note: The measurements have been taken during a site visit. Labosport visited the site and took measurements of the cricket square and boundaries, and used information provided by the client regarding the level of play on each pitch.
--------------	--

This report has been prepared to assess the potential risk of cricket balls surpassing the boundaries of a cricket pitch at Thornham Cricket Club and advise on the height and location of mitigation recommended to provide a suitable level of protection.

Orientation	Recommended mitigation height (based on recreational cricket)
North	7 m
East	15 m
South	19 m
West	5 m

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of the ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

The below diagram shows the proposed locations of the recommended mitigation for heights detailed above:



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

Alternative Mitigation Strategy

Orientation	Recommended mitigation height (based on recreational cricket)
Beyond the predicted landing zones highlighted in yellow, cyan and green	0 m


Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of the ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.



Trajectory model overview

Previous work undertaken by Labosport for the England and Wales Cricket Board (ECB) led to the development of a sophisticated trajectory model to estimate the distance a ball would travel, and its trajectory given a specific velocity, angle, spin rate and atmospheric conditions (i.e. altitude).

The trajectory model uses aerodynamic principles and Newtonian physics to predict the ball flight path whilst accounting for the effect of air resistance. The model uses aerodynamic coefficients taken from published wind tunnel studies on cricket balls at different velocities.



CRICKET BALL TRAJECTORY MODEL

The aerodynamic forces of drag (F_D) and lift (F_L) are proportional to the ball's velocity relative to the air flow, frontal area, air density and the drag coefficient respectively lift coefficient. The forces are defined as:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} C_D \rho V^2 A$$

$$F_L = \frac{1}{2} C_L \rho V^2 A$$

where C_D and C_L are the non-dimensional drag and lift coefficients, ρ is the air density in kg/m^3 , V is the air stream velocity in m/s and A is the frontal area of the ball in m^2 . Due to the complexity of the flight dynamics, the trajectory can only be resolved by using a numerical time step approach whereby the ball conditions are calculated at small timesteps throughout the trajectory. The conditions at time step 1 are used to calculate the conditions at time step 2; the conditions at timestep 2 are used to calculate the conditions at time step 3 and henceforth. A timestep of 0.001 seconds was used to generate high-resolution trajectory data.

Trajectory models are known to exhibit high accuracy and Labosport have undertaken extensive experimental validation of this trajectory model to refine its accuracy. However, it is not possible to simulate the full complexity of the real world and this model does not account for variations in bat/ball restitution or wind (speed and direction). Due to these limitations, the model is regarded as an indicative prediction tool.

	Trajectory scenarios The hit angles and velocities are estimated from in-game action to cover a range of 'typical' shots ranging from 20 degrees to 50 degrees and 20 m/s (45 mph) to 50 m/s (112 mph). The exact frequency of shots resulting in a cricket ball being hit into adjacent areas is unknown and impossible to predict with certainty (player skills, type of game and many other factors can influence this) hence a proportionate approach needs to be taken to provide safety to users. In reality, there may be a "freak" shot that will result in a further than expected trajectory; however, the implications of planning for this type of worst-case approach could result in the closure of hundreds of cricket grounds across the country and hence a balanced risk mitigation strategy needs to be implemented that is proportionate. Indeed, there are risks associated with many everyday activities, but plans need to be developed to reduce risk following good practical health and safety principles including a combination of likelihood and severity. <u>Trajectories at an angle to the pitch</u> In scenarios where the direction of the trajectory is perpendicular to the direction of the pitch (or within 45 degrees of perpendicular), the analysis considers one trajectory scenario. This scenario is a ball trajectory played from the closest batting crease in the trajectory direction. <u>Trajectories parallel to the pitch</u> Where the direction of the trajectory is parallel to the direction of the pitch (or within 45 degrees), the analysis considers two trajectory scenarios; 1) a trajectory played from the closest batting crease, and 2) a trajectory played from the furthest batting crease. The type of cricket batting shots required to hit the ball from closest stumps are 'late cut' and 'late glance' shots and these do not achieve the same velocity as a 'straight drive' from the furthest batting crease. A greater emphasis is therefore placed on trajectories from the furthest batting crease. Previous work Labosport Ltd have undertaken this type of boundary risk assessment for a great many other cricket grounds over the past 5 years when there have been perceived problems with cricket balls exceeding the boundary, or the potential influence of a new adjacent development to an existing club. Through this work, Labosport Ltd have developed significant expertise that supports our judgements in these matters.
--	---

SITE SPECIFICS	Playing standard of cricket on the site Labosport have investigated the level of cricket that is played on this site. We have been advised that recreational cricket is played on this site. For recreational level cricket the basis of the shot velocity is 40 m/s. For recreational level cricket the basis of the 'late cut' or 'late glance' type shots is 30 m/s. It is on this basis that the recommendations in this report have been made. Existing mitigation Labosport have not been informed of any existing mitigation. This report does not account for any existing, or planned planting (trees, hedges etc). It is our informed opinion that planting cannot be relied upon to provide protection against ball trajectories. The planting may not be sufficiently dense to stop the ball, nor homogeneous across the length. The planting may change during the seasons, or indeed be cut back or removed.
----------------	--

Report Number	LSUK.22-0705	Page 5 of 16
Date	16/02/2023	
This report contains 16 pages, it may not be used for commercial purposes unless it is reproduced in its entirety		
LABOSPORT LTD, Unit 3 Aerial Way, Hucknall, Nottinghamshire, NG15 6DW, England (S185905)		www.labosport.com
+44 (0) 115 968 1998	info@labosport.co.uk	

Site measurements and topography



Orientation of risk

This boundary risk assessment will evaluate possible ball trajectories in all directions from the cricket square; however, the focus on the analysis is based on the shortest distances from the closest cricket pitches to the site boundaries.

The client has informed Labosport of the location of the cricket square in relation to the boundaries. Labosport have carried out this assessment based on the assumption that recreational cricket is played at the site.

Report Number	LSUK.22-0705	Page 6 of 16
Date	16/02/2023	
<i>This report contains 16 pages, it may not be used for commercial purposes unless it is reproduced in its entirety</i>		
LABOSPORT LTD, Unit 3 Aerial Way, Hucknall, Nottinghamshire, NG15 6DW, England (5185905)		www.labosport.com
+44 (0) 115 968 1998	info@labosport.co.uk	

SITE MEASUREMENTS

The above diagram illustrates the minimum distances from the cricket square to the site boundaries. Note as this is a risk assessment the worst-case scenarios are considered; consequently, the shortest measured (and calculated) distance is used for the study. The following distances have been used to calculate the projected height of the ball for different shot conditions as specified below:

Measured Distance	Shortest Boundary (m)
North – Closest stump to site boundary	Circa 40.67 m
North – Furthest stump to site boundary	Circa 60.77 m
East – Closest stump to site boundary	Circa 43.97 m
South – Closest stump to site boundary	Circa 25.85 m
West – Closest stump to site boundary	Circa 56.54 m

ESTIMATED BALL HEIGHT (USING THE PROJECTION MODELLING TOOL)

North Orientation

Estimated Ball Height @ 40.67 m		Angle (degrees)						
		20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Velocity (m/s)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	0	1.0	3.7	5.5	7.2	8.3	7.9
	35	2.5	5.7	8.7	11.5	14.1	16.5	17.7
	40	5.5	8.7	12.0	15.4	18.7	21.7	24.5
	45	7.3	10.8	14.4	18.0	21.7	25.5	29.1
	50	8.7	12.3	16.0	19.9	24.0	28.1	32.5
Estimated Ball Height @ 60.77 m		Angle (degrees)						
		20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Velocity (m/s)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	40	0	0	1.7	3.7	4.9	4.2	0
	45	0	4.8	8.1	11.5	14.2	14.9	13.2
	50	4.6	9.1	13.3	17.3	20.7	23.0	23.0

East Orientation

Estimated Ball Height @ 43.97 m		Angle (degrees)						
		20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Velocity (m/s)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	0	0	1.3	3.5	4.6	4.8	3.1
	35	1.3	4.4	7.5	10.3	12.8	14.7	14.9
	40	4.8	8.1	11.5	14.8	18.1	21.0	22.9
	45	6.9	10.6	14.3	18.0	21.7	25.4	28.7
	50	8.6	12.4	16.3	20.3	24.4	28.6	32.7

South Orientation

Estimated Ball Height @ 25.85 m		Angle (degrees)						
		20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Velocity (m/s)	20	0	0	0	1.5	2.5	2.9	2.0
	25	1.4	3.4	5.5	7.4	9.1	10.8	12.0
	30	3.9	6.0	8.2	10.5	12.8	15.2	17.5
	35	5.3	7.6	9.9	12.5	15.0	17.9	20.8
	40	6.2	8.5	10.9	13.7	16.3	19.7	23.1
	45	6.9	9.1	11.6	14.7	17.4	20.7	24.6
	50	7.2	9.7	12.4	15.2	18.1	21.6	25.9

West Orientation

Estimated Ball Height @ 56.54 m		Angle (degrees)						
		20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Velocity (m/s)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	40	0	2.3	5.7	8.5	10.7	11.4	9.3
	45	3.3	7.4	11.2	14.6	18.0	20.0	20.1
	50	6.4	10.7	15.1	19.1	23.1	26.3	28.3

See Appendix A for example trajectories.

RISK ASSESSMENT DISCUSSION

This report has been prepared to assess the potential risk of cricket balls surpassing the boundaries of a cricket ground at Thornham Cricket Club and to advise on the height and location of mitigation recommended to provide a suitable level of protection.

Mitigation recommendations – North orientation

Distance	Distance to boundary	Mitigation height (majority of risk removed)	Mitigation height (vast majority removed)	Overall mitigation height recommendation
Closest stump to site boundary @ 30 m/s	40.67 m	7 m high	10 m high	7 m high
Furthest stump to site boundary @ 40 m/s	60.77 m	5 m high	7 m high	

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

Mitigation recommendations – East orientation

Distance	Distance to boundary	Mitigation height (majority of risk removed)	Mitigation height (vast majority removed)	Overall mitigation height recommendation
Closest stump to site boundary @ 40 m/s	43.97 m	18 m high	25 m high	18 m high

Report Number

LSUK.22-0705

Date

16/02/2023

Page 8 of 16

This report contains 16 pages, it may not be used for commercial purposes unless it is reproduced in its entirety

LABOSPORT LTD, Unit 3 Aerial Way, Hucknall, Nottinghamshire, NG15 6DW, England (S185905)

+44 (0) 115 968 1998

info@labosport.co.uk

www.labosport.com

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

Mitigation recommendations – South orientation

Distance	Distance to boundary	Mitigation height (majority of risk removed)	Mitigation height (vast majority removed)	Overall mitigation height recommendation
Closest stump to site boundary @ 40 m/s	25.85 m	19 m high	25 m high	19 m high

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

Mitigation recommendations – West orientation

Distance	Distance to boundary	Mitigation height (majority of risk removed)	Mitigation height (vast majority removed)	Overall mitigation height recommendation
Closest stump to site boundary @ 40 m/s	56.54 m	11 m high	13 m high	11 m high

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

Further notes:

This report does not recommend the specific design of a mitigation system, however options could include;

- Ball stop netting
- Rigid panel fencing
- Permanent or temporary fencing structures

It is recommended the client discuss design options with the relevant stakeholders including the LPA, the ECB and the cricket club.

In addition, the client may wish to consider alternative mitigation options such as the location and orientation of the cricket square, controlling the level of cricket played on the site, or defining the location of junior and senior cricket pitches. It is recommended that the client discusses any such plans with the ECB and other relevant organisations along with the club to ensure that plans are suitable in mitigating the risk but also practicable for the cricket club's day to day use.

An alternative mitigation solution suggested by the client is to move the development further away from the cricket square. Using the ball trajectory model we have drawn the predicted ball landing zone from the closest cricket pitches (highlighted in yellow, cyan and green) into the proposed development, to show how far the development should be set back. Beyond these distances the ball is predicted to have hit the ground.

ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION SOLUTION



This report has been prepared to assess the potential risk of cricket balls surpassing the boundaries of a cricket pitch at Thornham Cricket Club and advise on the height and location of mitigation recommended to provide a suitable level of protection.

Orientation	Recommended mitigation height (based on recreational cricket)
North	7 m
East	18 m
South	19 m
West	11 m

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of the ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.

The below diagram shows the proposed locations of the recommended mitigation for heights detailed above:



CONCLUSIONS

Alternative Mitigation Strategy


Orientation	Recommended mitigation height (based on recreational cricket)
Beyond the predicted landing zones highlighted in yellow, cyan and green	0 m

Please Note: This may not stop all shots from landing beyond the site boundary, but it is believed from the assessment of the ball trajectory it will significantly reduce their frequency.




APPENDIX A – TYPICAL EXAMPLE TRAJECTORIES


20 ° @ 40 m/s



30 ° @ 40 m/s



40 ° @ 40 m/s



APPENDIX B – MITIGATION RECOMMENDATION



Report Number	LSUK.22-0705	Page 15 of 16
Date	16/02/2023	
<i>This report contains 16 pages, it may not be used for commercial purposes unless it is reproduced in its entirety</i>		
LABOSPORT LTD, Unit 3 Aerial Way, Hucknall, Nottinghamshire, NG15 6DW, England (S185905)		www.labosport.com
+44 (0) 115 968 1998	info@labosport.co.uk	

APPENDIX C – ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION SOLUTION



Report Number	LSUK.22-0705	Page 16 of 16
Date	16/02/2023	
<i>This report contains 16 pages, it may not be used for commercial purposes unless it is reproduced in its entirety</i>		
LABOSPORT LTD, Unit 3 Aerial Way, Hucknall, Nottinghamshire, NG15 6DW, England (5185905)		www.labosport.com
+44 (0) 115 968 1998	info@labosport.co.uk	