

Officer Report

Case Reference: PL/2026/0009
Location: 4 Oxendale Drive, Middleton, Manchester, M24 4FN
Proposal: Ground floor rear extension following demolition of existing conservatory and first floor side extension together with conversion of existing garage to a habitable room
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Officer: Luke Rigby

SITE

The application site consists of a two-storey semi-detached residential dwelling located in a predominantly residential area of Middleton. The existing dwelling is constructed of facing brick with a hipped roof. An attached garage is found to the side elevation with flat roof and a hardstanding driveway to the front of the property.

There is a uniform character to the existing street scene. Dwellings are predominantly semi-detached and of similar visual character to the application dwelling.

PROPOSAL

The application seeks permission for the construction of a first floor side extension and single storey rear extension.

RELEVANT PLANNING AND ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

None recorded.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

Sub-Regional Guidance

Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan (PfE)

JP-P1

Sustainable Places

Local Guidance

Saved Rochdale Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 2006:

G/D/1 Defined Urban Area

Adopted Rochdale Core Strategy (CS) 2016:

P3 Improving Design of New Development

DM1 General Development Requirements

T2 Improving Accessibility

Appendix 5 Schedule of Parking Standards

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD):

Supplementary Planning Document 'Guidelines and Standards for Residential Development' (2016)

PUBLICITY

Site Notice: N/A

Press Notice: N/A

Neighbour notification letters: In accordance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement, notification letters were sent to immediate neighbours.

ASSESSMENT

Design, character and appearance

The application proposes the construction of a first floor extension above the existing garage. The extension would be set back from the front elevation of the dwelling by approximately 1m from the front elevation of the dwelling.

Whilst it is acknowledged that this set back falls short of the 2m recommendation in the SPD, there are several dwellings along Oxendale Drive that have first floor side extensions that are not set back from the front elevation. The proposed extension would therefore not differ from the prevailing character of development and is not considered to appear significantly incongruous. It is considered that the proposed extension would appear appropriately subservient and in proportion, with a hipped roof to match the existing.

The proposed rear extension would project 3m from the rear elevation of the existing dwelling and have a mono-pitched roof. It is considered to appear appropriately subservient and in proportion.

Materials used to external finishes would be facing brick and uPVC to match the existing. These are considered acceptable on design terms.

Having regard to the above, the proposed developments are considered in keeping with the character and appearance of the original dwelling and surrounding properties, and the wider setting.

As such it is considered that the proposed extensions and alterations would be acceptable, in accordance with CS policies DM1 and P3, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Residential amenity

Regard has been had to the relative positions of windows, separation distances, the orientation and height of buildings and boundary treatments. Given the complete compliance with the SPD, it is considered that the proposal would not have a significant adverse impact on health or quality of life, having particular regard to residential amenity.

It is noted that all habitable rooms within the application property would continue to receive an adequate amount of natural light and that a sufficient garden space would be retained.

As such, it is considered proposed development would have an acceptable impact on the amenity of both the occupiers of the application property and the neighbouring properties, in accordance with CS policy DM1, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Highways and parking

The application property would retain two off-street parking spaces, and would have no unacceptable impacts upon highway safety in accordance with CS Policy T2 and CS Appendix 5.

Conclusion

Subject to the conditions set out below and taking into account the provisions of the development plan and all other material planning considerations, it is recommended that planning permission be granted.

SUMMARY OF THIRD-PARTY REPRESENTATIONS

None received.

SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

None received.

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. No significant problems have arisen during the consideration of this application, and the application has been determined in a timely manner, in accordance with the policies with the Development Plan.[AC1]

Human Rights and Public Sector Equality Duty

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 have been taken into account in the processing of the application and the preparation of this report. In particular, Article 6 (1), of the European Convention on Human Rights in relation civil rights and a fair hearing; Article 8 of the ECHR in relation to the right to respect for private and family life and Article 1 Protocol 1 of the ECHR in relation to the protection of property have all been taken into account.

In addition, the Equality Act 2010 provides protection from discrimination in respect of certain protected characteristics namely: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion, or beliefs and sex and sexual orientation. It places the Local Planning Authority under a legal duty to have due regard to the advancement of equality in the exercise of its powers including planning powers. Officers have taken this into account in the assessment of the application. In particular due regard has been afforded to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act;
- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Officers are satisfied that the application material and Officers' assessment has taken into account these issues.

The application has been considered in accordance with the Rochdale Equity, Diversity and Inclusion policy – Embracing All Voices (2024-2026), which seeks to promote a culture of equity, diversity and inclusion that benefit the community as a whole.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Condition(s) (3)

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

2 This permission relates to the following plans and documents: -

- DWG No. SLW/OD4/PA02 (Proposed Floor and Elevation Plans)
- PP14603096v1 (Location Plan)

and the development shall be carried out in accordance with these hereby approved.

Reason – For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of development in accordance with the policies contained within the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, the saved Unitary Development Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.

3 The materials used in the construction of the exterior of the development hereby permitted shall match those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing building in colour, form, type, size and texture.

Reason: In the interests of ensuring a satisfactory visual appearance to the development and in accordance with Policies P3 and DM1 of the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, Policy JP-P1 of the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Approval Reason(s) (1)

1 The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively to issue the decision without delay.