

Officer Report

Case Reference: PL/2026/0016
Location: 416 Bury Road, Rochdale, OL11 5EU
Proposal: Single Storey Rear Extension
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Officer: Luke Rigby

SITE

The application site consists of a two-storey semi-detached residential dwelling located to the north of Bury Road in a predominantly residential area of Rochdale. The existing dwelling is constructed of facing brick with a pitched roof. An existing single outrigger is found to the rear elevation and a detached garage forms the rear application boundary.

PROPOSAL

The application proposes the construction of a single storey infill extension between the existing two-storey outrigger and the application site boundary with No. 414.

RELEVANT PLANNING AND ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

None recorded.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

Sub-Regional Guidance

Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan (PfE)

JP-P1 Sustainable Places

Local Guidance

Saved Rochdale Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 2006:

G/D/1 Defined Urban Area

Adopted Rochdale Core Strategy (CS) 2016:

P3 Improving Design of New Development

DM1 General Development Requirements

T2 Improving Accessibility

Appendix 5 Schedule of Parking Standards

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD):

Supplementary Planning Document 'Guidelines and Standards for Residential Development' (2016)

PUBLICITY

Site Notice: N/A

Press Notice: N/A

Neighbour notification letters: In accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement, notification letters were sent to immediate neighbours.

ASSESSMENT

Design, character and appearance

The proposed development is in keeping with the character and appearance of, and is subordinate to, the original dwelling and surrounding properties, and the wider setting.

As such it is considered that the proposed extension and alterations would be acceptable, in accordance with CS policies DM1 and P3, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Residential amenity

Regard has been had to the relative positions of windows, separation distances, the orientation and height of buildings and boundary treatments. It is considered that the proposal would not have a significant adverse impact on health or quality of life, having particular regard to residential amenity.

It is noted that all habitable rooms within the application property would continue to receive an adequate amount of natural light and that a sufficient garden space would be retained.

As such, it is considered proposed development would have an acceptable impact on the amenity of both the occupiers of the application property and the neighbouring properties, in accordance with CS policy DM1, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Highways and parking

The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms at the dwelling therefore there would be no change to parking requirements which would remain as is. The proposal would have no unacceptable impacts upon highway safety in accordance with CS Policy T2 and CS Appendix 5.

Other Matters

The representation received and comments made in relation to drainage, party wall agreements and the development having impact on damp proof courses are acknowledged. These matters are covered either through building regulations, the Party Wall act or relate to civil issues and as such are not material considerations in the determination of planning applications.

Conclusion

Subject to the conditions set out below and taking into account the provisions of the development plan and all other material planning considerations, it is recommended that planning permission be granted.

SUMMARY OF THIRD-PARTY REPRESENTATIONS

None received.

SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

1No. representation received which can be summarised as follows:

- No objection in principle
- The 15cm gap between the extension and No. 414 could become a damp risk if the gully does not offer sufficient drainage.
- Suggest a capped narrow cavity be installed to prevent leaf litter etc accumulating in the gap and breaching damp proof courses.
- Questions regarding Building Control inspections.
- Requests time to renew damp proof course along outrigger at No. 414 as they will lose access.
- Party Wall Agreement should be finalised beforehand.

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT

Human Rights and Public Sector Equality Duty

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 have been taken into account in the processing of the application and the preparation of this report. In particular, Article 6 (1), of the European Convention on Human Rights in relation civil rights and a fair hearing; Article 8 of the ECHR in relation to the right to respect for private and family life and Article 1 Protocol 1 of the ECHR in relation to the protection of property have all been taken into account.

In addition, the Equality Act 2010 provides protection from discrimination in respect of certain protected characteristics namely: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion, or beliefs and sex and sexual orientation. It places the Local Planning Authority under a legal duty to have due regard to the advancement of equality in the exercise of its powers including planning powers. Officers have taken this into account in the assessment of the application. In particular due regard has been afforded to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act;
- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Officers are satisfied that the application material and Officers' assessment has taken into account these issues.

The application has been considered in accordance with the Rochdale Equity, Diversity and Inclusion policy – Embracing All Voices (2024-2026), which seeks to promote a culture of equity, diversity and inclusion that benefit the community as a whole.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Condition(s) (3)

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason – To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

- 2 This permission relates to the following plans and documents: -

DWG No. A200 (Location Plan)

DWG A01 Rev. 001 (Proposed Floor and Elevation Plans)

and the development shall be carried out in accordance with these hereby approved.

Reason – For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of development in accordance with the policies contained within the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, the saved Unitary Development Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.

- 3 The materials used in the construction of the exterior of the development hereby permitted shall match those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing building in colour, form, type, size and texture.

Reason – In the interests of ensuring a satisfactory visual appearance to the development and in accordance with Policies P3 and DM1 of the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, Policy JP-P1 of the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Approval Reason(s) (1)

- 4 The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively to issue the decision without delay.