

DELEGATED DECISION CHECKLIST AND REPORT

APPLICATION NO.	CASE OFFICER	DATE
23/01272/WTTPO	Chelsea Petrillo	26 January 2024

Address: 3 Broadhalgh Rochdale OL11 5LX

Proposal: Application to fell one Sycamore tree (14) allowing more space for T12 and T15 to thrive) and for work to 3 trees, subject to Tree Preservation Order No. 79 comprising of:-

Beech (T17) - sever ivy at base, crown thin up to 20%

Sycamore (T15) - crown reduce from property by 1-2 meters, crown thin up to 20%

Sycamore (T12) - sever ivy at base, crown thin up to 20%

PUBLICITY	Weekly list expired?	N/A	Site notice expired?	N/A
	Neighbour letters expired?	N/A	Press Notice expired?	N/A

DELEGATION	Significant departure from local plan?	N	Contrary to national policy?	N
	Applicant a Council Member or officer?	N	Contrary to previous decision?	N
	Major with 10 or more objections and recommending approval?	N	Called in or Planning Panel objection?	N

COMMENTS	Planning Panel comments received?	N
	Neighbour comments received?	N

EIA	Screening opinion completed and saved to Onbase?	N
-----	--	---

CONDITIONS	Pre-commencement conditions proposed?	N
	Pre-commencement conditions agreed (or notification period expired)?	N/A

RECOMMENDATION	GRANT Subject to Standard Conditions
----------------	--------------------------------------

CHECKED AND SIGNED OFF BY: TH DATE: 02.02.2024

SITE

The application relates to four protected trees sited to the front boundary of 3 Broadhalgh in the Bamford ward of Rochdale.

PROPOSAL

The proposal seeks consent for the following subject of Tree Preservation Order TPO079;

- ☐ T17 Beech – Sever icy at base, crown thin up to 20%
- ☐ T15 Sycamore – Crown reduce from property by 1-2metres, crown thin up to 20%
- ☐ T14 Sycamore – Remove to allow for the adjacent trees, T15 and T12, to thrive and eradicate further issues of T14
- ☐ T12 Sycamore – Sever icy at base, crown thin up to 20%

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICY

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

Adopted Rochdale Core Strategy (CS):

G7 – Increasing the value of biodiversity and geodiversity

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

None applicable.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Tree Officer

No comments received at time of writing

REPRESENTATIONS

In accordance with council policy on neighbour notification for this type of application, no letters of notification were sent to neighbouring properties.

ANALYSIS

In considering applications for works to protected trees, Government advice in paragraph 90 of the NPPG states:

“When considering an application the authority is advised to:

- assess the amenity value of the tree or woodland and the likely impact of the proposal on the amenity of the area;*
- consider, in the light of this assessment, whether or not the proposal is justified, having regard to the reasons and additional information put forward in support of it;*
- consider whether any loss or damage is likely to arise if consent is refused or granted subject to conditions;*
- consider whether any requirements apply in regard to protected species;*
- consider other material considerations, including development plan policies where relevant; and*
- ensure that appropriate expertise informs its decision.”*

In considering whether there has to be an arboricultural need for proposed works to a TPO, paragraph 91 of the NPPG states:

“In general terms, it follows that the higher the amenity value of the tree or woodland and the greater any negative impact of proposed works on amenity, the stronger the reasons needed before consent is granted. However, if the amenity value is lower and the impact is likely to be negligible, it may be appropriate to grant consent even if the authority believes there is no particular arboricultural need for the work.”

With regard to the above, the main considerations in respect of this application are whether the proposal for works to the tree is justified in light of the assessment of the amenity value of the tree and whether the reasons for works are of sufficient standing to warrant the granting of consent.

No comments were received from the Tree Officer at the time of writing. Notwithstanding, the submitted Tree Report notes the works are justified in the interest of safety and good arboriculture practice. The works are justified on the grounds of avoidance of harm to 3 Broadhalgh and in the interest of good arboriculture practice. Replanting details have been submitted elsewhere within the property to compensate for the loss of the T14 Sycamore tree.

The work is justified in the interest of safety and good arboriculture practice. The works are also justified on the grounds of avoidance of harm to 3 Broadhalgh and in the interest of good arboriculture practice.

The application proposal is therefore considered to be compliant with the guidance contained within the NPPG noted above, and the application is recommended for approval

RECOMMENDATION

GRANT Subject to Standard Conditions

Notes for Applicant:

For the avoidance of doubt, this Decision Notice relates to the following drawings / document(s):-

- Location Plan
- Tree Report (Dated 22-11-2024)
- Replanting and Aftercare details (Dated 30-01-2024)

Report Author Chelsea Petrillo