

Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council
SMITH STREET, ROCHDALE, OL16
1XU

Reference: PA-0004105/01
Customer reference: PL/2026/0383
01 June 2026

Public Service Infrastructure, development comprising of the construction of a part one, two and three storey (split level) new Alternative Provision (AP) School with associated parking, play space and landscaping.

Land off Mayfield Street Rochdale -

Dear Planning Officer,

Thank you for contacting us.

This is our response to your consultation PL/2026/0383. We have no objection in principle to the proposed development, but we would like to make the following comments.

Environment Agency position

We have reviewed the submitted reports in support of the full planning permission application for a Public Service Infrastructure development comprising of the construction of a part one, two and three storey (split level) new Alternative Provision (AP) School with associated parking, play space and landscaping on land at Mayfield Street, Rochdale.

- Desk Study & Ground Investigation report by GEA Ltd dated March 2026, and
- Remediation Method Statement by GEA Ltd dated March 2026

We have identified that the land has previously had some limited industrial land uses which may have introduced adverse impact to the land which could pose a significant risk to Controlled Waters.

We have identified that the site is above Secondary Aquifers and within 74m of the River Roch. We consider these to be controlled waters.

We do not believe that sufficient site investigation has been undertaken to fully assess the possible risks to controlled waters from the current and future condition of the land.

The application's supporting documentation demonstrates that it will be possible to manage the risks posed to controlled waters by this development. Further detailed information is however required before built development is undertaken. We believe that it would place an unreasonable burden on the developer to ask for more detailed information prior to the granting of planning permission but respect that this is a decision for the LPA. This is required prior to commencement of the development.

Considering the above, the proposed development will be acceptable, if a planning condition is included requiring the submission of a detailed land contamination management strategy. This should be carried out by a competent person in line with paragraph 196 of the NPPF.

Without the following conditions we would object to the proposed development in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF because it cannot be guaranteed that the development will contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land, where appropriate or will not be put at unacceptable risk from, or be adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of water pollution.

Condition

No development approved by this planning permission shall commence until a remediation strategy to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site in respect of the development hereby permitted, has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the LPA. This strategy will include the following components:

1. Additional detailed site investigation scheme, based on the results from the previous submitted reports to provide information for a detailed risk assessment to all receptors that may be affected, including those off-site.
2. A tiered risk assessment using the results of the site investigation referred to in (2).
3. An options appraisal including sustainability and treatability studies of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.
4. A remediation strategy and verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected to demonstrate that the works set out in the remediation strategy are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, mitigation, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.

Any changes to these components require the written consent of the LPA. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.

Reason

- To ensure that the development does not contribute to and is not put at unacceptable risk from or adversely affected by unacceptable levels of water pollution in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF.
- To ensure the development will contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land, where appropriate in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF.

Condition

No drainage systems for the infiltration of surface water to the ground where adverse concentrations of contamination are known or suspected to be present are permitted other than with the written consent of the LPA. Any proposals for such systems must be supported by an assessment of the risks to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason

To ensure that the development does not contribute to and is not put at unacceptable risk from or adversely affected by unacceptable levels of water pollution caused by mobilised contaminants. This is in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF.

Condition

The Piling or any other intrusive foundation design using penetrative method hereby permitted by the LPA may not commence until such time as a scheme has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the LPA.

The scheme shall be based on the information submitted as part of the application and, where necessary, supported by:

- Foundation Works Risk Assessment
- A conceptual site model
- Specification of the type, number and depth of proposed piles/ foundations/ dimensions of shaft/tunnel/ design of heating and cooling system
- A detailed groundwater monitoring programme including a schedule for submission of interim and final monitoring reports
- A contingency action plan including the list of potential mitigation measures that will be implemented, should unexpectedly changes in groundwater quality be noted because of the piling or any other intrusive foundation design works.
- Timing/phasing arrangements.

The scheme shall be fully implemented and subsequently maintained, in accordance with the timing/phasing arrangements contained in the scheme, or any details as may subsequently be agreed, in writing, by the LPA.

Reason

To ensure that the proposed piling or any other intrusive foundation design, does not harm groundwater resources in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF and Position Statement J of [The Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection](#).

Condition

Prior to any part of the permitted development being brought into use, a verification report demonstrating the completion of works set out in the approved remediation strategy and the effectiveness of the remediation shall be submitted to, and approved in writing, by the LPA. The report shall include results of sampling and monitoring carried out in accordance with the approved verification plan to demonstrate that the site remediation criteria have been met.

Reason

To ensure that the site does not pose any further risk to the land and water environment by demonstrating that the requirements of the approved verification plan have been met and that remediation of the site is complete. This is in line with paragraph 187 of the NPPF.

Advice to applicant

Model Procedures and good practice

This development site appears to have been the subject of past industrial activity which may pose a risk of pollution to controlled waters.

We recommend that you should:

- Follow the risk management framework provided in Guidance on Land contamination risk management (LCRM) [Land contamination risk management \(LCRM\) - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#), when dealing with land affected by contamination
- Refer to the [contaminated land](#) pages on gov.uk for more information
- Refer to [The Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection](#)

All investigations of land potentially affected by contamination should be carried out by or under the direction of a suitably qualified competent person and in accordance with BS 10175 (2001) Code of practice for the investigation of potentially contaminated sites.

Where the remediation / redevelopment of the site will involve waste management issues we offer the following advice:

Waste on-site

The CL:AIRE Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice (version 2) provides operators with a framework for determining whether excavated material arising from site during remediation and/or land development works is waste or has ceased to be waste. Under the Code of Practice:

- excavated materials that are recovered via a treatment operation can be reused on-site providing they are treated to a standard such that they are fit for purpose and unlikely to cause pollution
- treated materials can be transferred between sites as part of a hub and cluster project
- some naturally occurring clean material can be transferred directly between sites

Developers should ensure that all contaminated materials are adequately characterised both chemically and physically, and that the permitting status of any proposed on-site operations are clear. If in doubt, the Environment Agency should be contacted for advice at an early stage to avoid any delays.

We recommend that developers should refer to:

- the [position statement](#) on the Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice
- The [waste management](#) page on GOV.UK

Waste to be taken off-site

Contaminated soil that is (or must be) disposed of is waste. Therefore, its handling, transport, treatment and disposal are subject to waste management legislation, which includes:

- Duty of Care Regulations 1991
- Hazardous Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2005
- Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016
- The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011

Developers should ensure that all contaminated materials are adequately characterised both chemically and physically in line with British Standard BS EN 14899:2005 'Characterization of Waste - Sampling of Waste Materials - Framework for the Preparation and Application of a Sampling Plan' and that the permitting status of any proposed treatment or disposal activity is clear. If in doubt, the Environment Agency should be contacted for advice at an early stage to avoid any delays.

If the total quantity of hazardous waste material produced or taken off-site is 500kg or greater in any 12-month period, the developer will need to register with us as a hazardous waste producer. Refer to the [hazardous waste](#) pages on GOV.UK for more information.

Introduction of SUDS:

Approved Document Part H of the Building Regulations 2000 establishes a hierarchy for surface water disposal, which encourages a SUDS approach. Under Approved Document Part H, the first option for surface water disposal should be the use of SUDS, which encourage infiltration such as soakaways or infiltration trenches. In all cases, it should be established that these options are feasible, can be adopted and properly maintained and would not lead to any other environmental problems. For example, using soakaways or other infiltration methods on contaminated land carries groundwater pollution risks and may not work in areas with a high-water table. Where the intention is to dispose to soakaway, these should be shown to work through an appropriate assessment carried out under Building Research Establishment (BRE) Digest 365.

Piling and Penetrative ground improvement methods:

Piling or any other foundation designs using penetrative methods can result in risks to potable supplies from, for example, pollution / turbidity, risk of mobilising contamination, drilling through different aquifers and creating preferential pathways.

Thus, it should be demonstrated that any proposed piling will not result in contamination of groundwater.

Dewatering

Dewatering is the removal/abstraction of water (predominantly, but not confined to, groundwater) to locally lower water levels near the excavation. This can allow operations to take place, such as mining, quarrying, building, engineering works or other operations, whether underground or on the surface. Any dewatering activities on-site could have an impact upon local wells, water supplies and/or nearby watercourses and environmental interests. This activity was previously exempt from requiring an abstraction licence. Since 1 January 2018, most cases of new planned dewatering operations above 20 cubic metres a day will require a water abstraction licence from us prior to the commencement of dewatering activities at the site. More information is available on gov.uk:

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/water-management-apply-for-a-water-abstraction-or-impoundment-licence#apply-for-a-licence-for-a-previously-exempt-abstraction>.

Regulatory position statements

Discharges from dewatering to surface water or groundwater will require an Environmental Permit unless you can meet the conditions of the RPS or exemptions or exclusions for groundwater activities. The following Regulatory Position Statement will apply: 'Temporary dewatering from excavations to surface water.'
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/temporary-dewatering-from-excavations-to-surface-water>

Please forward a copy of this letter to the applicant.

If you have any questions regarding our response, please contact spplanning.rfh@environment-agency.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

Sylvia Whittingham,
Sustainable Places Advisor

spplanning.rfh@environment-agency.gov.uk

Richard Fairclough House, Knutsford Road, Warrington, WA4 1HT