

Officer Report

Case Reference: PL/2026/0390
Location: 166 HALIFAX ROAD, ROCHDALE, OL16 2NJ
Proposal: Front and rear dormers, first floor rear extension and single storey rear extension.
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Officer: Bob Melling

By indicating that the development proposal complies with relevant national, sub-regional and local policies and the 'Guidelines and Standards for Residential Development' Supplementary Planning Document, the Case Officer is taking into account the information submitted with the application, any previous relevant applications, observations during any site visit, any comments received in connection with the application and any other considerations which are material to the decision.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

Sub-Regional Guidance

Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan (PfE) 2024:

JP-P1: Sustainable Places

Local Guidance

Saved Rochdale Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 2006:

G/D/1: Defined Urban Area

Adopted Rochdale Core Strategy (CS) 2016:

P3: Improving Design of New Development

DM1: General Development Requirements

T2: Improving Accessibility

Appendix 5: Schedule of Parking Standards

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD):

Supplementary Planning Document 'Guidelines and Standards for Residential Development' (2016)

PUBLICITY

Site Notice: N/A

Press Notice: N/A

Neighbour notification letters: 05.06.2026

Weekly List: 17.06.2026

ASSESSMENT

Design, character and appearance

The proposed development is in keeping with the character and appearance of, and is subordinate to, the original dwelling and surrounding properties, and the wider setting. While it is noted that front dormers are not generally permitted, it is important to take into consideration the immediate context. In this instance, the dormer would be similar in scale to the existing front dormers at nos. 162 and 168 Halifax Road. Given this existing context, it cannot be reasonably concluded that the proposed front dormer would unduly harm the character of the street. Furthermore, the Case Officer has worked with the applicant to ensure the use of slate tiles for the dormer face and sides. This detail is secured by condition.

In addition, amendments have been secured to the roof of the first floor rear extension to ensure that it does not attach to the face of the rear dormer. The resulting arrangement would be similar to that of no. 162 Halifax Road.

While the use of white render for the rear extension would not be in keeping with the walling materials of the existing house, it is noted that there is some variation to the walling materials of the rear elevations along the terraced block. On this basis, the use of white render to the rear would not be unduly harmful.

Overall, the proposals are considered to be acceptable on balance given the immediate context. The development is therefore considered to be acceptable in accordance with CS policies DM1 and P3, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Residential amenity

Regard has been had to the relative positions of windows, separation distances, the orientation and height of buildings and boundary treatments. It is considered that the proposal would not have a significant adverse impact on health or quality of life, having particular regard to residential amenity. It is noted that all habitable rooms within the application property would continue to receive an adequate amount of natural light and that a sufficient garden space would be retained. As such, it is considered proposed development would have an acceptable impact on the amenity of both the occupiers of the application property and the neighbouring properties, in accordance with CS policy DM1, the SPD, PfE policy JP-P1 and the NPPF.

Highways and parking

The proposed extensions would have no bearing on the existing parking arrangements for the application property.

Conclusion

Subject to the conditions set out below and taking into account the provisions of the development plan and all other material planning considerations, it is recommended that planning permission be granted.

SUMMARY OF THIRD-PARTY REPRESENTATIONS

N/A

SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

N/A

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. No significant problems have arisen during the consideration of this application, and the application has been determined in a timely manner, in accordance with the policies with the Development Plan.

Human Rights and Public Sector Equality Duty

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 have been taken into account in the processing of the application and the preparation of this report. In particular, Article 6 (1), of the European Convention on Human Rights in relation civil rights and a fair hearing; Article 8 of the ECHR in relation to the right to respect for private and family life and Article 1 Protocol 1 of the ECHR in relation to the protection of property have all been taken into account.

In addition, the Equality Act 2010 provides protection from discrimination in respect of certain protected characteristics namely: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion, or beliefs and sex and sexual orientation. It places the Local Planning Authority under a legal duty to have due regard to the advancement of equality in the exercise of its powers including planning powers. Officers have taken this into account in the assessment of the application. In particular due regard has been afforded to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act;
- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Officers are satisfied that the application material and Officers' assessment has taken into account these issues.

The application has been considered in accordance with the Rochdale Equity, Diversity and Inclusion policy – Embracing All Voices (2024-2026), which seeks to promote a culture of equity, diversity and inclusion that benefit the community as a whole.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Condition(s) (3)

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

- 2 The development shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents hereby approved:

- Location Plan
- Building Block as Existing & Proposed. Dwg no. 2061.2 - BB 01. Rev A
- Floor Plans as Existing. Dwg no. 2061.2 - EX 01. Rev A
- Floor Plans as Proposed. Dwg no. 2061.02 - EX 02. Rev E
- Elevations as Existing. Dwg no. 2061.02 - EX 04. Rev A
- Elevations as Proposed. Dwg no. 2061.02 - EX 05. Rev F

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of development in accordance with the policies contained within the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, the saved Rochdale Unitary Development Plan, the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework.

- 3 The materials used in the construction of the development hereby permitted shall be in accordance with drawing number 2061.02 - EX 05. Revision F unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In order to ensure a satisfactory appearance in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policies P3 and DM1 of the adopted Rochdale Core Strategy, Policy JP-P1 of the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Approval Reason(s) (1)

- 4 The Local Planning Authority worked proactively with the applicant to identify various solutions during the application process to ensure that the proposal comprised sustainable development and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area and would accord with the development plan. These were incorporated into the scheme and/or have been secured by planning condition.