

Agent response to United Utilities (UU) comments dated 17 July 2026 :

UU comment: we require developers and Local Planning Authorities, to do all that they can to avoid surface water entering the public sewer.

HH comment: It is proposed to discharge surface water to the Whittle Brook to the north of the site, not into the UU asset. Please refer to the Drainage Statement doc n. 316553-HAH-XX-XX-R-C-00001 submitted as part of the planning application.

UU comment: Following our review of the submitted drainage documents; Flood Risk Assessment, prepared by LK Consult, Ref: FRA261090 and Drainage Strategy Ref: 316553-HAH-XX-00-D-C-00122, Dated 10.07.26, the drainage proposals are not acceptable to United Utilities.

HH comment: The drainage strategy, relevant hierarchy assessment and drawings (including proposed levels and drainage) are included in the Appendix C of the Drainage Statement doc n. 316553-HAH-XX-XX-R-C-00001 submitted as part of the planning application.

UU conditions: UU have noted a list of conditions (i to vi) and the requirement for a management and maintenance of SuDS

HH comment: Please refer to the Drainage Statement doc n. 316553-HAH-XX-XX-R-C-00001 submitted as part of the planning application. This document responds to all the conditions listed by UU, as follow:

- i : Section 5.2 of the Drainage Statement
 - ii: Section 5.2 of the Drainage Statement
 - iii: Appendix C of the Drainage Statement
 - iv: Appendix C of the Drainage Statement - foul water is proposed to be pumped
 - v: Appendix C of the Drainage Statement
 - vi: Appendix C of the Drainage Statement
- SuDS maintenance strategy: Appendix F of the Drainage Statement

In addition, please refer to Appendix D of the Drainage Statement for the Pre-Development enquiry submitted to UU.

Received 24.08.2026